

**WBS Number:** 3.3**Description:** Liquid Argon**Institution :****Contact**

The M&O estimate for the Liquid Argon Calorimeter includes costs for the commissioning, pre-operations, test beam activities, operations, maintenance, CERN living expense supplements, travel and CERN common costs. It is divided into sections describing the mechanical and electrical systems, test beams, and CERN common costs.

1) Model for the cost estimates of the M&O for the mechanical systems: **Details of Estimate:**

The cost estimate for the pre-operations, commissioning, maintenance and operations of the mechanical components of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter is based on the assumption that US will continue to be responsible for its deliverables: barrel cryostat, feedthroughs, FCal and for the cryogenics. In the construction project, the US contributes ~50% of the total cost of those components. There are three stages of the M&O program: (1) FY03-FY04 - commissioning of the cryostat with its temporary cryogenics in the surface building 180 and the commissioning of the Liquid Nitrogen refrigerator in the USA15; (2) FY05-FY07 - integration and re-commissioning of the cryostat, of the feedthroughs vacuum and control systems and of the cryogenics in the final configuration in the experimental pit; (3) FY08-FY12 - operations and periodic maintenance of the experiment.

Barrel cryostat pre-operations involve continuous cold tests both in Bldg.180 prior to the transport to the pit and after its installation in the experimental hall. The work will include welding of the cryostat shut after the installation of the calorimeter modules and completion of all the tests, installation and commissioning of the solenoid magnet. A complete check of the feedthroughs' vacuum and their monitoring systems will be done after the completion of module installation in Bldg.180. Several feedthroughs will be disconnected from the vacuum system for the transport to the experimental hall to allow for proper crane operations. They will have to be reassembled in the pit and another complete vacuum and monitoring check will be done in FY06. The temporary cryostat cryogenics system assembled in Bldg.180 in FY03 will be operational in FY04 and FY05. The final cryogenics will be commissioned in FY06 after the installation in the pit.

Similar procedure will be followed for the Endcap cryostats where the purity monitors and cryogenics control software will start operations in

bldg 180 for the cold tests, while the final test and operations will commence after the transport to the cavern.

The operational system of the LN2 refrigerator and of the inter-connects will be commissioned in FY05 and FY06.

During the experiment operations a CERN based crew will be supported by the CERN Common Costs. Calibration of the monitoring equipment (including quality meters), maintenance of the data bases and of the repair stations for feedthroughs' components, quality meters and of the monitoring electronics will remain US responsibility. BNL, Stony Brook and the Arizona groups will maintain the test and repair equipment throughout the period of the experiment. BNL will also maintain the control software for the cryostat and cryogenics systems.

2) Model for the cost estimates of the M&O for the electronics and electrical systems

The components of the system crate: pedestals, warm cables and base planes, have been already installed on the barrel end endcapC cryostats and will be installed on the endcapA cryostat in 2003. The crates and the readout board system will be installed on the cryostats after their move to the experimental pit i.e., in FY05-FY06. Several pedestals will have to be removed for the transport and re-installed in the pit due to the physical conflict with the transport.

The commissioning will start in the West Hall in FY04. A portable full readout crate system and a test station will be used to check the status of each calorimeter module after the each cryostat is closed but before it is welded shut.

The pre-operations will include: the full crate test of the readout system, the long-term boards burn-in facility, a portable full crate test station for the commissioning of the calorimeter modules in Bldg. 180, the commissioning of the electronics readout after its installation on the detector (in the pit) and the specialized electronics for the beam tests. The system crate, optical links, Level 1 trigger system, and the ROD system will require costs for pre-operations.

A long-term burn-in of the integrated system crate will be performed to flush out the infant mortality components before the commencement of operations. Documentation update (including final layouts and drawings) will be made during the commissioning stage.

The estimates for operations and maintenance are based on the LHC run model of 7 months of proton-proton collisions, 2 months of heavy ion collisions and 3 months detector access per year. For such model, the ATLAS Liquid Argon Electronics

Coordination group estimated a need for the on-site electronics operations crew of 1 supervisory Electrical Engineer and 5 electronics technicians working in shifts. This crew (paid from the CERN Common costs with a 20% US share) will identify problem boards/components, replace with spares (if accessible) and run simple diagnostic tests. It is

expected that during the standaqrd yearly access additional experts from the home institutions will be needed at

CERN to help in de-bugging and problem solving as they arise. Simple repairs will be made at CERN. Boards with more difficult problems will be sent for repairs to the "home" institutions (Nevis, BNL, Pittsburgh, SMU) responsible for their maintenance. Each institution will maintain the expertise and the necessary test and repair equipment. In addition, these institutions will need to update the supply of spares from time to time as needed. This model is similar to that used e.g., at PHENIX, D0 and ZEUS.

Maintenance and operations of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter electronics in FY07-FY12 covers the following seven categories:

front-end electronics, level 1 trigger interface, ROD system electronics, power supplies, detector control and cooling systems, cables, crates, and connectors, optical links.

The numbers of the units are as follows:

The number of the Front-End Boards installed in the system:

Type	Number
Front End Board	1524
Calibration Board	122
Tower Builder Board	120
Tower Driver Board	20
Controller	114
Monitoring Board	146
LV Boards (HEC)	24
Total	2070

There are 2 cooling plates for each board and an extensive, water based cooling system.

There are 4 main types of power supplies.

Type & number installed	number of units/supply
Front End Crate supplies	63 & 18
ROD VME crate supplies	54 & 4
Level 1 Interface Crate supplies	8 & 4
HEC LV Supplies	8 & 12

The (Optical) Link components are:

Connection/type	number installed
FEB-ROD/optical	1524
ROD-FEB optical/Cu	762
System crate/optical	114
FT-Baseplane/Cu (flex)	3048
TBB-Receiver/Cu (shielded TP)	240
TDB-Receiver/Cu (shielded TP)	120

The Level 1 trigger receiver/monitor system, located in the USA15 cavern, will consist of eight 9-U VME crates filled with 16 modules each. Each module contains 64 analog channels.

The modules in the ROD system (not including TTC hardware) are:

Type	Number installed
ROD modules	192
TBM modules	16
SPAC modules	16
Total	224

The estimated failure rate of the FEB components is based on the engineering judgment and on the experience of the D0, H1 and ZEUS experiments. The failure rate will require a replacement with spares of about 100-150 readout boards during the yearly access. These boards will be diagnosed and repaired during the operations period and made ready as spares for the next access cycle. During the access, US based technicians and postdocs will

supplement the operating crew, as a single board replacement will require a minimum of 3 people for 3-4 hours. This is after the experiment has been opened and the scaffolding and access platforms have been set up. It is estimated that it will take 2 weeks to open the detector and two weeks to close it down. During that period safety interlocks for HV, lasers etc., must be monitored. The US institutions must maintain a crew of technicians and a fraction of high-level electrical engineers for problem diagnoses and repairs. It is expected that during the operation's period FY07-FY12, the electrical engineers will work on the R&D and on the design of electronics for the LHC upgrade, but that they will be available for special tasks and consultations. The specialized test equipment, which will be quite heavily used, must be kept operational and up to date. For the purpose of estimating the maintenance cost for such equipment, it was assumed that it would be replaced every three years.

3) Model for the cost estimates of the M&O for the test beams activities

There are three test beam periods for ATLAS LAr systems: 1) during the August 2003 - April 2004 the Combined Barrel test run will measure a complete electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters responses to the electrons and pions. 2) The calibration run for the FCAL is scheduled for June-September 2003. 3) A combined EMEC/HEC/FCAL

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	16551	0	0	16551	49	10039	398	6066	5731.3	1875.8

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	5187 443.516	4845 343.182	7208 560.141	2835 175.776	4167 323.415	4612 419.2	3485 353.355	32339 2618.585
Designer R	1107 97.625	352 18.55	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1459 116.175
Electrical Engineer R	4124 294.093	7568 639.157	8366 843.727	3736 375.82	4147 444.279	1817 181.508	1396 160.289	31154 2938.873
Grad Student R	0 0	1760 39.072	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1760 39.072
Mechanical Engineer R	3027 297.253	1440 119.558	3698 398.664	895 91.672	1181 125.336	1801 177.032	1181 131.932	13223 1341.447
Sr Research Scientist R	0 0	0 0	0 0	880 69.386	880 71.192	0 0	0 0	1760 140.578
Technician R	5193 327.218	6232 368.784	8830 627.794	6429 433.729	3688 264.137	4864 381.979	6365 488.726	41601 2892.367
<b>R Total</b>	18638 1459.705	22197 1528.303	28102 2430.326	14775 1146.383	14063 1228.359	13094 1159.719	12427 1134.302	123296 10087.097
<b>Total</b>	18638 1459.705	22197 1528.303	28102 2430.326	14775 1146.383	14063 1228.359	13094 1159.719	12427 1134.302	123296 10087.097

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	483.0	307.2	775.3	666.0	651.2	737.9	729.9	4622.223
Travel R	344.0	137.8	170.0	188.5	150.8	173.3	198.3	1841.826

<b>R Total</b>	827.0	445.0	945.3	854.6	801.9	911.3	928.2	6464.049
<b>Total</b>	827.0	445.0	945.3	854.6	801.9	911.3	928.2	6464.049

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1

**Description:** Mechanical M&O Estimate

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

The mechanical M&O estimate for the Liquid Argon Calorimeter includes costs for pre-operations, commissioning, operations and maintenance.

Comments: US contributes ~50% of the cost of the ATLAS LAr mechanical components: cryostats, feedthroughs, cryogenics and FCal. US will continue to be responsible for its deliverables during the commissioning, operations and maintenance stage of the experiment. There are three stages of the M&O program: (1) FY03-FY04 - commissioning of the cryostat with its temporary cryogenics and of the FCal in the surface building 180 (West Hall); (2) FY05-FY07 - integration and re-commissioning of the cryostat and cryogenics in their final configuration in the experimental pit; (3) FY08-FY12 - experiment operations with periodic maintenance.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	3978	0	0	3978	49	2665	0	1265	1541.6	1047.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	1057 69.867	1938 81.437	2233 110.896	1275 72.476	1353 77.813	1353 79.831	726 52.713	9935 545.033
Designer R	300 28.087	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	300 28.087
Electrical Engineer R	0 0	0 0	135 16.685	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	135 16.685
Mechanical Engineer R	3027 297.253	1440 119.558	1938 181.141	895 91.672	1181 125.336	1801 177.032	1181 131.932	11463 1123.924
Technician R	1453 127.132	665 59.208	2033 178.384	1392 125.667	1788 165.365	1788 169.652	1788 174.068	10907 999.476
<b>R Total</b>	5837 522.339	4043 260.203	6339 487.106	3562 289.815	4322 368.514	4942 426.515	3695 358.713	32740 2713.205
<b>Total</b>	5837 522.339	4043 260.203	6339 487.106	3562 289.815	4322 368.514	4942 426.515	3695 358.713	32740 2713.205

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	140.0	62.0	77.0	16.4	126.3	154.4	140.2	812.989
Travel R	86.0	18.8	28.0	33.3	45.8	56.7	49.9	451.857
<b>R Total</b>	226.0	80.8	105.0	49.8	172.1	211.1	190.0	1264.846
<b>Total</b>	226.0	80.8	105.0	49.8	172.1	211.1	190.0	1264.846

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1

**Description:** Pre-operations and commissioning

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

The calorimeter (barrel and two endcaps) will be completed on the surface and will be [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

operated using temporary cryogenics, controls and readout. It will be then transferred to the pit and integrated with the rest of the detector. This will require changes to the cryogenics, controls and readout. Pre-operations shall include:

1. Updating the documentation in CDD format to include all the changes to the hardware from the pre-operations stage of the experiment. The documentation to be updated includes, as a minimum, new drawings, procedures and software.
2. Acceptance test procedure, and data recording including calculations required by the CERN safety group.
3. Integration tooling and fixtures including calculations needed for integration and installation in the pit. .
4. Cryostat operations on the surface (common cost item).
5. Facilities setup that include as a minimum, equipment, and a safety program
6. Pre-operations of hardware.
7. Disassembly and re-assembly of feedthroughs for the move from Building 180 to the pit.

During the pre operations stage of the experiment, the documentation in CDD format will be updated to reflect the changes made to the hardware during commissioning. An integration acceptance test procedure will be generated and data recorded. In addition, integration tooling and fixtures will be developed for integrating level 3 subsystems.

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	420	0	0	420	0	328	0	92	186.6	7.0

**MANPOWER**  
**(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	440	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
	43.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.940
Designer R	300	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
	28.087	0	0	0	0	0	0	28.087
Mechanical Engineer R	1077	150	0	0	0	0	0	1227
	133.109	18.539	0	0	0	0	0	151.648
Technician R	687	500	0	0	0	0	0	1187
	60.197	44.517	0	0	0	0	0	104.714
<b>R Total</b>	2504	650	0	0	0	0	0	3154
	265.333	63.056	0	0	0	0	0	328.389
<b>Total</b>	2504	650	0	0	0	0	0	3154
	265.333	63.056	0	0	0	0	0	328.389

**MATERIAL**  
**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>
Other R	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.747
Travel R	43.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.304
<b>R Total</b>	65.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.051
<b>Total</b>	65.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.051

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.1

**Description:** Cryostat

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	55	0	0	55	0	44	0	11	24.7	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Mechanical Engineer R

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	352	0	0	0	0	0	0	352
	43.505	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.505
<b>R Total</b>	352	0	0	0	0	0	0	352
	43.505	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.505
<b>Total</b>	352	0	0	0	0	0	0	352
	43.505	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.505

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

Other R

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.1.1

**Description:** Cryostat documentation update

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** J. Sondericker

Cryostat documentation update. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any cryogenics system in operation. The documentation must be submitted in the CDD format. For the cryostat the documentation will need to be updated twice: once for the operations in the West Hall and the second time after the move to the experimental pit. It is expected that some of the interfaces will be modified during the integration.

Labor assumes 1/5 FTE of a mechanical engineer in FY05 and FY06 (J. Sondericker/ M. [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

Rehak) to update the cryostat documentation and to provide calculations required for the integration in the pit. It also includes the Designer for drawing preparation and update (J. Farrel). One needs to review and upload 20 drawings into the CDD. Time estimate is 1 day per drawing or 160 hours in FY04 and 160 hours in FY05. Travel: - 4 trips to CERN in FY05 and 4 in FY06 at \$2500per trip or \$15000. The final review must be done after the move of the cryostat to the final position and start of final operations in FY07.

Management reserve.

The elements of this task are put into management reserve for 05, 06 and 07

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	55	0	0	55	0	44	0	11	24.7	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	352 43.505	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	352 43.505
<b>R Total</b>	352 43.505	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	352 43.505
<b>Total</b>	352 43.505	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	352 43.505

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.150

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.2

**Description:** Feedthrough

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	22	0	0	22	0	22	0	0	12.4	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Mechanical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
	12.977	0	0	0	0	0	0	12.977
Technician R	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	8.903	0	0	0	0	0	0	8.903
<b>R Total</b>	205	0	0	0	0	0	0	205
	21.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	21.880
<b>Total</b>	205	0	0	0	0	0	0	205
	21.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	21.880

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.2.1

**Description:** Signal FT Documentation update

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** T. Muller

Documentation update for the signal feedthroughs. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any vacuum and cryogenic system in operation. The documentation has to be done in the CDD format. The documentation will have to be updated twice: once for the operations in the West Hall and the second time after the move to the experimental pit. It is expected that some of the interfaces will be modified during integration.

Labor assumes 1/7 FTE in FY03, 1/17 FTE in FY04 and FY05 and 1/30 FTE in FY06 of a [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

mechanical engineer (T. Muller). In addition a work of a Designer (J. Farrel) is needed to update the feedthrough's documentation drawings. Task includes an update of drawings and upload into CDD. There are 15 drawings including FT assembly drawings. Each drawing will need an average of 10 hours for review and update. Total of 150 hours in 2005 and 150 hours in 2006.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	13	0	0	13	0	13	0	0	7.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	105 12.977	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	105 12.977
<b>R Total</b>	105 12.977	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	105 12.977
<b>Total</b>	105 12.977	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	105 12.977

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.2.2

**Description:** HV FT Documentation Update

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

Documentation update for the HV feedthroughs. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any vacuum and cryogenic system in operation. Some interfaces are modified during the installation. It is expected that more changes will occur during the integration.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	9	0	0	9	0	9	0	0	5.1	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903
<b>R Total</b>	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903
<b>Total</b>	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.2.2.1

**Description:** HV FT Documentation Update - SBU

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

Documentation update for the HV feedthroughs. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any vacuum and cryogenic system in operation. Some interfaces are modified during the installation. It is expected that more changes will occur during the integration.

An update and upload of the information on the filter box and overall assembly will require a labor of a senior technician in FY06. There are 10 drawings for the filter box and 6 drawings for the cabling at 10 hours per drawing

Labor assumes 1/10 FTE of a mechanical designer in FY06 to update the HV Feedthrough [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**  
documentation.

Base & infrastructure;

Labor assumes 1/10 Physicist in FY06 to review and support documentation update.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	9	0	0	9	0	9	0	0	5.1	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903
<b>R Total</b>	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903
<b>Total</b>	100 8.903	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	100 8.903

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.3

**Description:** Cryogenics

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	338	0	0	338	0	263	0	75	149.4	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	440	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
	43.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.940
Designer R	300	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
	28.087	0	0	0	0	0	0	28.087
Mechanical Engineer R	620	150	0	0	0	0	0	770
	76.627	18.539	0	0	0	0	0	95.166
Technician R	587	500	0	0	0	0	0	1087
	51.294	44.517	0	0	0	0	0	95.811
<b>R Total</b>	1947	650	0	0	0	0	0	2597
	199.948	63.056	0	0	0	0	0	263.004
<b>Total</b>	1947	650	0	0	0	0	0	2597
	199.948	63.056	0	0	0	0	0	263.004

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.597
Travel R	38.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.004
<b>R Total</b>	50.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.601
<b>Total</b>	50.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.601

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.3.1

**Description:** Documentation update

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** J. Sondericker

Documentation update for the cryogenics. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any cryogenics system in operation. The documentation has to be provided in the CDD format. The documentation will need to be updated twice: once for the operations in the West Hall in FY04 and the second time after the move to the experimental pit in FY05 and integration in FY06. The cryogenics control systems are different for the two operations. IN Bldg. 180 the LN2 is vented. In the experimental hall there is a LN2 recovery and re-circulation system. Software controls use different programming schemes.

Labor assumes Mechanical Engineer (J. Sondericker): 1/12 FTE in FY05 and 1/12 FTE in [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

FY06 to write the operations manual and a Mechanical Designer (Y. Farrah) to update the documentation of the software operations for the refrigerator and for the implementation of the safety procedures for the operations in the pit: 1/6 FTE in FY05 - FY07.

. Travel:- 1 trip to CERN0year in FY05 - FY07 at \$2500per trip

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	72	0	0	72	0	65	0	7	37.0	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Designer R	300 28.087	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	300 28.087
Mechanical Engineer R	150 18.539	150 18.539	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	300 37.078
<b>R Total</b>	450 46.626	150 18.539	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	600 65.165
<b>Total</b>	450 46.626	150 18.539	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	600 65.165

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.793
<b>R Total</b>	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.793
<b>Total</b>	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.793

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.3.2

**Description:** Cryogenics Commissioning

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Commissioning of all cryogenic equipment.

Commissioning in the West Hall will require the following tasks:

1. Around the clock cold tests support.
2. Closing of the Cold Vessel - Support in closing of the Cold Vessel which includes:
  - A. Monitoring installation of the Omega seals in preparation for welding the cryostat flanges shut.
  - B. Certification welding samples prepared, test samples welded, evaluation, and supervision
  - C. Monitor torquing of cold vessel bolts
  - D. Supervision of welding safety practices to prevent superinsulation fires.
  - E. Pump - down of individual flange seals and leak check.
3. Feedthrough Vacuum and Monitoring
  - A. Complete testing of the Feedthrough Good and Bad Vacuum systems
  - B. Commissioning of the Feedthrough head flange heater system to avoid condensation on temperature monitoring instrumentation
4. Solenoid Magnet Installation
  - A. Installation of the Solenoid Magnet in the Cryostat.
  - B. Installation of super insulation blankets.
  - C. Provide support to KEK to install SC buss bar and shield to He supply dewar.
  - D. Magnetic field test measurement in the West Hall
  - E. Commissioning of the solenoid magnet system
5. Test of the barrel cryostat Insulating Vacuum System - Commission the insulating Vacuum System of the warm barrel cryostat vessels by
  - He leak checking the entire warm vessel while under vacuum.
6. Cold test - Commissioning the BC Cryogenic System by cooling down the cold vessel, filling with LAr and warming to 89K operating conditions.
7. Commissioning in the cavern

**Details of Estimate:**

The resources to accomplish the above tasks will require 1/12 FTE in , FY05 and 1/4FTE in FY06 of a Mechanical Engineer (J. Sondericker - 2 weeks each year at CERN to supervise commissioning and to discuss the implementation of the refrigerator control system + 2 weeks each year at BNL to work with the industrial companies and with the CERN safety groups on the operations analysis), 1/2 FTE of the Software Professional (Y. Farrah) in FY05 - FY07 to implement the program and to provide the interfaces to the LAr control system

1/6 FTE ME in FY04 to provide the calculations needed for the solenoid magnet integration with the cryostat

1/6 FTE of the mechanical engineer (M. Rehak) in FY05 to provide the calculations for the tilecal interface with the cryostat during the system integration in the pit.

1/4 FTE of software professional (Y Farrah) in FY06 to provide support for the cooldown to commission cryostat.

1/6 FTE of a Technician (Wheeler) in FY04 for the Quality Meters commissioning in the West Hall.

1/3 FTE of a technician (Wheeler) in FY05 for the dis-assembly and recommissionig of the cryostat interfaces in the pit .

1/3 FTE of a Technician (Wheeler) in FY06 for the disassassembly and recommissioning of the cryostat interfaces in the pit.

Materials: \$20,000 is needed in FY03 for connections needed in Bldg.180. Based on the past experience \$10,000 / year of material will be required in FY04, \$15000 FY05 and \$10,000 in FY06, and 4 trips at \$2500 per trip in FY04-FY07.

Commisioning in the cavern will continue through FY07.

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	213	0	0	213	0	153	0	60	87.1	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	440	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
	43.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.940
Mechanical Engineer R	470	0	0	0	0	0	0	470
	58.088	0	0	0	0	0	0	58.088
Technician R	587	0	0	0	0	0	0	587
	51.294	0	0	0	0	0	0	51.294
<b>R Total</b>	1497	0	0	0	0	0	0	1497
	153.322	0	0	0	0	0	0	153.322
<b>Total</b>	1497	0	0	0	0	0	0	1497
	153.322	0	0	0	0	0	0	153.322

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.265
Travel R	29.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.549
<b>R Total</b>	40.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.814
<b>Total</b>	40.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.814

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.3.3

**Description:** HV Feedthrough Commissioning

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

Commissioning of the HV feedthroughs.

Task includes the commissioning of the HV connections after the move of each of the three sections of the calorimeters (barrel and two endcaps) to the experimental pit; connection of the new HV cables to the FT filter boxes.

Re-connection of the temperature sensors and of the heater connectors. Creation of the data bases in the CERN CDD

Labor estimate is based on the past experience. It will require 1 week per feedthrough (i.e., 5 [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

to 6 weeks) of a technician in FY05.

Travel: 6 trips (two per cryostat) at \$2,500/trip or \$15,000.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	53	0	0	53	0	45	0	8	25.3	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	500	0	0	0	0	0	500
	0	44.517	0	0	0	0	0	44.517
<b>R Total</b>	0	500	0	0	0	0	0	500
	0	44.517	0	0	0	0	0	44.517
<b>Total</b>	0	500	0	0	0	0	0	500
	0	44.517	0	0	0	0	0	44.517

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.332
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.662
<b>R Total</b>	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.994
<b>Total</b>	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.994

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.4

**Description:** Forward Calorimeter

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0.0	7.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300
<b>Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.1.4.1

**Description:** FCAL Documentation update

**Institution :** U. of Arizona

**Contact** L. Shaver

Documentation update FCAL. The FCAL assembly will be completed in FY04. CERN safety group requires a complete documentation of any cryogenic system in operation. Some interfaces are modified during the installation. It is expected that more changes will occur during the integration. Electronics channel wiring and mapping mistakes have to be identified and corrected in software and data bases. These can be completed only after the end of all

Labor assumes 1/4 FTE of a mechanical engineer/designer in FY05 to update the FCAL **Details of**

**Estimate:**

documentation. (20 production drawings + integration drawings). Travel 1 trip at \$2500. 1/4 of ME in FY06. Travel - 2 trips in FY06 are required.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0.0	7.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300
<b>Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2

**Description:** Operations

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

Operations shall include:  
 Calibration and monitoring of the equipment during experiment run time.  
 Maintaining databases.  
 ATLAS data taking.  
 Maintenance for accessible parts and replacement as needed. Consumables are included in common costs.

Calibration and monitoring of the equipment will be performed during the experiment run [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

time that is expected to start in FY07. ATLAS data taking, database maintenance, and support will be provided. Routine checking and maintenance will be carried out for accessible parts of the subsystem. For those parts of the system that are inaccessible, failures will be logged and whatever recovery procedures are necessary will be executed. Hardware, software, and physicist technical support and management will be required. During the operations phase, personnel will be required to provide hardware support, software support and supervise the operations of the cryostat, the Liquid nitrogen refrigeration system, the quality meter monitors, HV Feedthroughs. Additional work will be needed to design and monitor interfaces of the components under direct US responsibility with those under the ATLAS collaboration responsibility. This will include the interface of the

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	2000	0	0	2000	0	1736	0	264	986.6	1040.1

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	517 21.725	1760 73.957	2055 103.416	1175 68.165	1175 69.939	1175 71.753	548 44.425	8405 453.380
Electrical Engineer R	0 0	0 0	135 16.685	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	135 16.685
Mechanical Engineer R	1800 145.605	880 63.669	1502 140.578	735 79.795	745 82.634	745 84.777	745 86.983	7152 684.041
Technician R	590 51.556	0 0	1157 101.705	1144 103.161	1144 105.847	1144 108.59	1144 111.417	6323 582.276
<b>R Total</b>	2907 218.886	2640 137.626	4849 362.384	3054 251.121	3064 258.42	3064 265.12	2437 242.825	22015 1736.382
<b>Total</b>	2907 218.886	2640 137.626	4849 362.384	3054 251.121	3064 258.42	3064 265.12	2437 242.825	22015 1736.382

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	12.0	0.0	5.1	10.5	5.4	5.5	44.181
Travel R	22.5	4.3	15.0	23.1	29.0	29.7	30.5	219.651
<b>R Total</b>	22.5	16.3	15.0	28.2	39.5	35.1	36.0	263.832
<b>Total</b>	22.5	16.3	15.0	28.2	39.5	35.1	36.0	263.832

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.1

**Description:** Cryostat

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	221	0	0	221	0	189	0	32	107.5	113.3

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Mechanical Engineer R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	0	160	160	160	160	160	800
	0	0	15.978	16.393	16.82	17.256	17.705	84.152
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	167	160	160	160	160	807
	0	0	20.675	20.289	20.817	21.357	21.912	105.050
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	327	320	320	320	320	1607
	0	0	36.653	36.682	37.637	38.613	39.617	189.202
<b>Total</b>	0	0	327	320	320	320	320	1607
	0	0	36.653	36.682	37.637	38.613	39.617	189.202

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.1.1

**Description:** Cryostat operations

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Sondericker

Provide hardware and software support to the cryostat during the operations phase. The support consists of monitoring the cryostat controls for the temperature, pressure and liquid levels. A data base updates and modifications will be done. Periodic review of the performance parameters will be done by cryostat design engineer. Software professional will provide yearly updates of the operations and control software.

Labor costs assume 160 hours/year of ME and 160 hours/year of software professional (based [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

on the past experience in D0 experiment) in FY06 to FY12. Travel 2 trips/year in FY06-FY12 at \$2500/ trip or \$35,000.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	221	0	0	221	0	189	0	32	107.5	113.3

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	0	160	160	160	160	160	800
	0	0	15.978	16.393	16.82	17.256	17.705	84.152
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	167	160	160	160	160	807
	0	0	20.675	20.289	20.817	21.357	21.912	105.050
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	327	320	320	320	320	1607
	0	0	36.653	36.682	37.637	38.613	39.617	189.202
<b>Total</b>	0	0	327	320	320	320	320	1607
	0	0	36.653	36.682	37.637	38.613	39.617	189.202

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	32.204

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.2

**Description:** Feedthrough

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	575	0	0	575	0	484	0	91	275.3	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Mechanical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	240	240	240	240	240	1200
	0	0	29.662	30.433	31.225	32.035	32.869	156.224
Technician R	0	0	717	704	704	704	704	3533
	0	0	63.257	63.713	65.372	67.066	68.812	328.220
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	957	944	944	944	944	4733
	0	0	92.919	94.146	96.597	99.101	101.681	484.444
<b>Total</b>	0	0	957	944	944	944	944	4733
	0	0	92.919	94.146	96.597	99.101	101.681	484.444

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	10.5	5.4	5.5	30.801
Travel R	2.5	3.5	5.0	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.3	60.072
<b>R Total</b>	2.5	3.5	5.0	12.8	18.4	13.5	13.9	90.873
<b>Total</b>	2.5	3.5	5.0	12.8	18.4	13.5	13.9	90.873

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.2.1

**Description:** Signal Feedthroughs operations

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Provide hardware support to the signal feethroughs during the operations phase. Monitoring and control of the signal feedthrough temperature and nitrogen gas flow and of the status of the vacuum system will be required.

CERN safety requirements impose a yearly validation of every relief valve. There are 120 relief valves each needing 5 hours access. This work will be shared with the CERN based operations crew. Maintenance of the test and repair equipment at BNL will be needed.

To support the hardware, 1/7 FTE ME, and 1/5 FTE Technician from FY07 to FY12 will be [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

required.

Material: \$5,000/year will be needed to maintain and test the repair station at BNL starting in FY04 to FY12.

Travel 1 trip/year from FY06 to FY12 at \$ 2,500 or \$20,000 total will also be required.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	366	0	0	366	0	318	0	47	180.8	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	240	240	240	240	240	1200
	0	0	29.662	30.433	31.225	32.035	32.869	156.224
Technician R	0	0	352	352	352	352	352	1760
	0	0	30.759	31.558	32.38	33.219	34.084	162.000
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	592	592	592	592	592	2960
	0	0	60.421	61.991	63.605	65.254	66.953	318.224
<b>Total</b>	0	0	592	592	592	592	592	2960
	0	0	60.421	61.991	63.605	65.254	66.953	318.224

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	23.787
Travel R	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	23.649
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	5.0	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.3	47.436
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	5.0	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.3	47.436

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.2.2

**Description:** HV Feedthroughs operations

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

Provide hardware support to the High Voltage Feethrough's during the operations phase. The system will operate in two stages: FY04-FY06 in the West Hall and in the pit with a full access to the feedthroughs; and FY07-FY12 with a yearly access to the pit. Monitoring and control of the HV feedthrough temperature and dry air as well as of the performance of the HV filter

Labor costs assume 1/10 FTE of a Technician (J. Steffens) starting in FY04 and FY05 and [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

1/5 technician from FY06 to FY12, monitoring equipment cost of \$5000 consisting of CANBUS I/O and a PC is required in FY06. Tools replacement (\$5k) will be needed in FY10.

Travel: 2 trips/year at \$2500/trip or \$45,000 from FY04 to FY12.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	210	0	0	210	0	166	0	43	94.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	0	365	352	352	352	352	1773
	0	0	32.498	32.155	32.992	33.847	34.728	166.220
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	365	352	352	352	352	1773
	0	0	32.498	32.155	32.992	33.847	34.728	166.220
<b>Total</b>	0	0	365	352	352	352	352	1773
	0	0	32.498	32.155	32.992	33.847	34.728	166.220

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	7.014
Travel R	2.5	3.5	0.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	36.423
<b>R Total</b>	2.5	3.5	0.0	5.1	10.5	5.4	5.5	43.437
<b>Total</b>	2.5	3.5	0.0	5.1	10.5	5.4	5.5	43.437

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.3

**Description:** Cryogenics

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	548	0	0	548	0	448	0	100	254.3	57.4

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	0	135	135	135	135	135	675
	0	0	13.481	13.832	14.192	14.56	14.939	71.004
Electrical Engineer R	0	0	135	0	0	0	0	135
	0	0	16.685	0	0	0	0	16.685
Mechanical Engineer R	300	0	215	80	80	80	80	835
	37.078	0	26.572	10.144	10.408	10.678	10.956	105.836
Technician R	590	0	440	440	440	440	440	2790
	51.556	0	38.448	39.448	40.475	41.524	42.605	254.056
<b>R Total</b>	890	0	925	655	655	655	655	4435
	88.634	0	95.186	63.424	65.075	66.762	68.5	447.581
<b>Total</b>	890	0	925	655	655	655	655	4435
	88.634	0	95.186	63.424	65.075	66.762	68.5	447.581

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.380
Travel R	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	87.050
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	12.0	10.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	100.430
<b>Total</b>	5.0	12.0	10.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	100.430

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.3.1

**Description:** Quality Meter

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Sondericker

The Quality Meter consists of the mechanical system and an electronic cards that provide capacitance to current conversion. Costs are based on providing mechanical, electrical hardware and software support to the quality meter during the operations. Hardware support includes checking of the quality meters operations and calibration at least three times per year. Software support consists of monitoring the quality meter controls for temperature pressure and liquid levels. The monitoring requires knowledge of the PLC control language. First two years of operations will require preservation of the mechanical know-how at BNL. Long-term operations support will be provided by the CERN

Labor costs assume:**Details of Estimate:**

1/13 FTE ME, and 1/13 FTE EE for hardware support in FY06 and FY08, and 1/13 FTE SW Prof for software support in FY06 to FY12.

Travel: - 2 trips/year at \$2500/ trip or \$30,000 from FY06 to FY12.

Labor in FY06+07 put into management contingency

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	158	0	0	158	0	104	0	53	59.3	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	0	135	135	135	135	135	675
	0	0	13.481	13.832	14.192	14.56	14.939	71.004
Electrical Engineer R	0	0	135	0	0	0	0	135
	0	0	16.685	0	0	0	0	16.685
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	135	0	0	0	0	135
	0	0	16.685	0	0	0	0	16.685
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	405	135	135	135	135	945
	0	0	46.851	13.832	14.192	14.56	14.939	104.374
<b>Total</b>	0	0	405	135	135	135	135	945
	0	0	46.851	13.832	14.192	14.56	14.939	104.374

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.380
Travel R	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	39.751
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	12.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	53.131
<b>Total</b>	0.0	12.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	53.131

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.3.2

**Description:** Cryogenics operations

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Provide hardware and software support to the cryogenics during the operations phase. During FY04 and FY05 this will support operations in the West Hall. Starting in FY06, this will support operations in the experimental pit.

Task includes periodic checks of the LN2 refrigerator, valves, pumps, etc. It includes monitoring of the cryogenics controls for the temperature, pressure and the liquid levels and provides upgrades to the BNL generated control code. Since the software for the cryogenics controls will have to be made compatible with the software procedures under development for the LHC (not yet established), there will be a need to change the language and to modify control tools after the start of operations when the LHC system is stable.

T Labor costs assume:**Details of Estimate:**

1/6 FTE ME, in FY04 to FY07. 1/3 of the technician will be needed during the commissioning in the pit in FY06-FY07

Mechanical engineer (Sondericker) will update the specifications and make them compatible with running conditions. He will provide a supervision for the maintenance of the LN2 refrigerator.

1/20 FTE ME, 1/4 FTE technician in FY08 to FY12 for hardware support.

Software Support: 1/3 FTE of a software professional in FY06

Travel: - 2 trips/year at \$2500/ trip or \$45,000 from FY04 to FY12.

Management Contingency

FY04 and FY05

1/4 FTE technician - for hardware support, and 1/3 FTE SW Prof for software support to provide support for the cryogenics system operations in the west Hall and to provide updates of the BNL generated control code

FY06 labor put into management contingency

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	391	0	0	391	0	343	0	47	195.0	57.4

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	300 37.078	0 0	80 9.887	80 10.144	80 10.408	80 10.678	80 10.956	700 89.151
Technician R	590 51.556	0 0	440 38.448	440 39.448	440 40.475	440 41.524	440 42.605	2790 254.056
<b>R Total</b>	890 88.634	0 0	520 48.335	520 49.592	520 50.883	520 52.202	520 53.561	3490 343.207
<b>Total</b>	890 88.634	0 0	520 48.335	520 49.592	520 50.883	520 52.202	520 53.561	3490 343.207

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	47.299
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	47.299
<b>Total</b>	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.5	47.299

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.4

**Description:** FCAL

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	655	0	0	655	0	615	0	40	349.5	869.4

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Mechanical Engineer R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	517 21.725	1760 73.957	1760 73.957	880 37.94	880 38.927	880 39.937	253 11.781	6930 298.224
Mechanical Engineer R	1500 108.527	880 63.669	880 63.669	255 18.929	265 20.184	265 20.707	265 21.246	4310 316.931
<b>R Total</b>	2017 130.252	2640 137.626	2640 137.626	1135 56.869	1145 59.111	1145 60.644	518 33.027	11240 615.155
<b>Total</b>	2017 130.252	2640 137.626	2640 137.626	1135 56.869	1145 59.111	1145 60.644	518 33.027	11240 615.155

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325
<b>R Total</b>	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325
<b>Total</b>	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.2.4.1

**Description:** FCAL operations

**Institution :** U. of Arizona

**Contact** Not available

Provide hardware and software support to the FCAL during the commissioning and operations phase. The software support consists of monitoring the FCAL controls for temperature, pressure, liquid levels and electronics responses and provides changes to the software.

Commissioning is divided into three phases: commissioning and cold tests of the endcaps in building 180, commissioning of the endcaps in the experiemntal hall, and commissioning of bothe endcaps simultaneously with the final DAQ system using horizontal muons fr0om the single beam LHC operations.

Update december 06: full time software support is needed for the commissioning of Fcal

Commissioning starts in 2005 and will continue through FY06. Single beam operations start [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

in FY07.

Labor costs assume 1/2 FTE ME starting in FY06 to FY08 and 5/16 FTE Computer professional starting in FY06 to FY08 and 3/20 FTE ME and 3/20 FTE Software professional in FY09 to FY12. Travel: 6 trips in FY06, 2 trips/year at \$2500/trip or \$45,000

**Base and Infrastructure**

Hardware support for this effort will require:

FY06 to FY08

- 1 FTE faculty
- 1 FTE Post doc
- 1 FTE grad student

FY 09 to FY12

- 2/10 FTE faculty
- 1/4 FTE post doc
- 1/4 FTE grad student

**Base & infrastructure**

Effort required for the software controls consists of:

FY06 to FY08

- 1/2 FTE faculty
- 1/3 FTE software professional
- 1/2 FTE grad student

FY 09 to FY12

- 1/4 FTE faculty
- 2/10 FTE software professional
- 1/2 FTE grad student

update december 06: 1 FTE software support is needed for the commissioning and 0.5 FTE computer professional will be needed during operations

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	655	0	0	655	0	615	0	40	349.5	869.4

<b>MANPOWER</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Computer Professional R	517	1760	1760	880	880	880	253	6930
	21.725	73.957	73.957	37.94	38.927	39.937	11.781	298.224

Mechanical Engineer R	1500	880	880	255	265	265	265	4310
	108.527	63.669	63.669	18.929	20.184	20.707	21.246	316.931
<b>R Total</b>	2017	2640	2640	1135	1145	1145	518	11240
	130.252	137.626	137.626	56.869	59.111	60.644	33.027	615.155
<b>Total</b>	2017	2640	2640	1135	1145	1145	518	11240
	130.252	137.626	137.626	56.869	59.111	60.644	33.027	615.155

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b>	<b>FY 07</b>	<b>FY 08</b>	<b>FY 09</b>	<b>FY 10</b>	<b>FY 11</b>	<b>FY 12</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b>
	<b>(k\$)</b>							
Travel R	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325
<b>R Total</b>	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325
<b>Total</b>	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	40.325

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3

**Description:** Maintenance

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance shall include:

Spare part kit to repair at CERN and at the institution sites. The spare parts shall account for part wear out rate, and part obsolescence.

Scheduled maintenance that includes equipment removal and reinstallation, calibration and alignment, test equipment at the CERN and institution sites - on site repair, and off - site repair.

Project Management to supervise the staff and to perform project maintenance planning and control

US ATLAS maintenance tasks will be required on the following level 3 subsystems A. [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

Cryogenics, B. Quality meters, C. Signal and HV Feedthroughs. The cryostat will be accessed for maintenance approximately every ten years and therefore no cost for maintenance will be estimated. The cryogenics, Quality Meters and the feedthroughs will require US ATLAS manpower to support maintenance functions at CERN. The signal and HV feedthroughs and Quality Meters, will also require spare parts on hand at CERN to support the maintenance task. During access, failed control units: ELMB, valves, temperature and pressure gauges will be repaired or replaced with spares every three to five years on average. Repair of these failed modules will be performed at CERN by the maintenance staff. The estimate is based on working 200 days/year and that 50 days/year will be used for access, leaving 150 days (a total of 900 man-days per year) for on site repair and maintenance.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	1557	0	0	1557	49	600	0	909	368.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	100 4.202	178 7.48	178 7.48	100 4.311	178 7.874	178 8.078	178 8.288	1090 47.713
Mechanical Engineer R	150 18.539	410 37.35	436 40.563	160 11.877	436 42.702	1056 92.255	436 44.949	3084 288.235
Technician R	176 15.379	165 14.691	876 76.679	248 22.506	644 59.518	644 61.062	644 62.651	3397 312.486
<b>R Total</b>	426 38.12	753 59.521	1490 124.722	508 38.694	1258 110.094	1878 161.395	1258 115.888	7571 648.434
<b>Total</b>	426 38.12	753 59.521	1490 124.722	508 38.694	1258 110.094	1878 161.395	1258 115.888	7571 648.434

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	118.0	50.0	77.0	11.3	115.8	149.0	134.6	744.061
Travel R	20.0	12.0	13.0	10.3	16.8	27.0	19.4	164.902
<b>R Total</b>	138.0	62.0	90.0	21.5	132.6	176.0	154.0	908.963
<b>Total</b>	138.0	62.0	90.0	21.5	132.6	176.0	154.0	908.963

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.1

**Description:** Cryostat-Maint of Interfaces

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	216	0	0	216	0	101	0	115	57.2	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749
<b>Total</b>	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218
<b>R Total</b>	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218
<b>Total</b>	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.1.1

**Description:** Maint of mechanical facility

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the mechanical facility including replacement of broken equipment

Maintenance of the mechanical facility at CERN (for replacement and problem shooting) [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

and at BNL (for repairs) including replacement of broken equipment (including specialized welding and cutting tools) is estimated at \$18k/year from FY05 to FY12. Repairs and updates on maintenance and inspection procedures will require labor of 1/8 FTE MT in FY05 to FY12

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	216	0	0	216	0	101	0	115	57.2	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749
<b>Total</b>	0	0	440	0	220	220	220	1100
	0	0	38.448	0	20.237	20.762	21.302	100.749

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218
<b>R Total</b>	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218
<b>Total</b>	18.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	18.9	19.4	19.9	115.218

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.2

**Description:** Feedthrough

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	325	0	0	325	49	83	0	194	74.5	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	165	260	248	248	248	248	1417
	0	14.691	22.852	22.506	23.091	23.69	24.307	131.137
<b>R Total</b>	0	165	260	248	248	248	248	1417
	0	14.691	22.852	22.506	23.091	23.69	24.307	131.137
<b>Total</b>	0	165	260	248	248	248	248	1417
	0	14.691	22.852	22.506	23.091	23.69	24.307	131.137

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	20.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	12.6	43.2	26.0	139.063
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	2.6	8.1	8.3	54.689
<b>R Total</b>	30.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	15.3	51.3	34.4	193.752
<b>Total</b>	30.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	15.3	51.3	34.4	193.752

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.2.1

**Description:** Signal Feedthrough Maintenance

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the Signal feedthroughs

Cost for spare parts required to support the feedthroughs maintenance is \$30,000 in FY05 [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

and \$15000 in FY06 and FY07, and \$12,000 per year in FY08 to FY12. 1/20 FTE of technician per year is needed to maintain the feedthrough repair facility and equipment!

Travel: 4 trips/year at \$2500/trip in FY05 and 2 trips/year in FY 06, and 1 trip/year in FY07 to FY12 or \$30,000 total.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	128	0	0	128	49	0	0	80	27.6	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	0	180	88	88	88	88	532
	0	0	15.729	7.89	8.095	8.305	8.521	48.540
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	180	88	88	88	88	532
	0	0	15.729	7.89	8.095	8.305	8.521	48.540
<b>Total</b>	0	0	180	88	88	88	88	532
	0	0	15.729	7.89	8.095	8.305	8.521	48.540

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	13.0	13.3	60.087
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.7	2.8	19.777
<b>R Total</b>	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	15.7	16.1	79.864
<b>Total</b>	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	15.7	16.1	79.864

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.2.2

**Description:** HV Feedthrough Maintenance

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the HV feedthrough (including HV distribution boxes):

The repair and test station for the HV filter network will be set up and maintained at SUNY SB. Task involves a periodic safety check of the HV system including temperature monitoring and control. Periodic replacement of the failed filter modules with spares will be done. Failed units will be sent for repairs at Stony Brook.

Update december 06: The procedures for burnout of shorts require opening of the HV feedthroughs and isolation of individual supply lines

**Details of Estimate:**

1/6 FTE Technician in FY05 for setting up the maintenance and repair facility. Travel: - 4 trips in FY05 at \$2500/trip or \$10,000.

Cost for spare parts, tools, and shipping is \$28k in FY05, FY08, and FY11 and \$11.5k from FY06, FY07 and FY09- FY10 and FY12.

The filter box repair station maintenance will include scope for the corona check and HV crate with power supply and soldering tools. The estimate is for 10 channels out of 5000 to fail each year and need repairs. It takes 2 days per channel to repair it and retest i.e., 20 days/year of a technician or 1/10 FTE/ year from FY07 to FY12 .

Travel: 2 trips/year at \$2500/trip or \$45,000 from FY04 to FY12

management contingency

Material cost reevaluated

Base and infrastructure support for the HV feedthroughs:

1/2 FTE faculty in FY07 and FY08 and 1/10 faculty in FY09 to FY12.

1/2 grad student in FY07 and FY08 and 1/4 grad student in FY09 to FY12.

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	196	0	0	196	0	83	0	114	46.9	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Technician R	0	165	80	160	160	160	160	885
	0	14.691	7.123	14.616	14.996	15.385	15.786	82.597
<b>R Total</b>	0	165	80	160	160	160	160	885
	0	14.691	7.123	14.616	14.996	15.385	15.786	82.597
<b>Total</b>	0	165	80	160	160	160	160	885
	0	14.691	7.123	14.616	14.996	15.385	15.786	82.597

<b>MATERIAL</b> <b>SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>
Other R	5.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	30.2	12.7	78.976
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	5.4	5.5	34.912
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	35.6	18.3	113.888

<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	35.6	18.3	113.888
--------------	------	-----	-----	------	-----	------	------	---------

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.3

**Description:** Cryogenics

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	763	0	0	763	0	210	0	553	119.3	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Mechanical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	150 18.539	150 18.539	176 21.752	0 0	176 22.899	176 23.492	176 24.104	1004 129.325
Technician R	176 15.379	0 0	176 15.379	0 0	176 16.19	176 16.61	176 17.042	880 80.600
<b>R Total</b>	326 33.918	150 18.539	352 37.131	0 0	352 39.089	352 40.102	352 41.146	1884 209.925
<b>Total</b>	326 33.918	150 18.539	352 37.131	0 0	352 39.089	352 40.102	352 41.146	1884 209.925

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	80.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	84.2	86.4	88.6	489.780
Travel R	7.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	7.9	8.1	8.3	63.106
<b>R Total</b>	87.5	55.0	55.0	0.0	92.1	94.5	97.0	552.886
<b>Total</b>	87.5	55.0	55.0	0.0	92.1	94.5	97.0	552.886

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.3.1

**Description:** Quality Meters

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Quality Meters

Labor costs assume 1/6 ME in FY05, and 1/10 FTE ME in FY06 to FY12. Cost for spare [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

parts is \$12,500 in FY05, and \$30K/year ( 15% of construction manufacturing cost) in FY06 to FY12. Spare parts cost for the quality meter mechanical parts and for the electronic boards is \$50k/year starting in FY05 to FY12.Travel: - 1 trip/year at \$2500 per trip or \$20,000 total from FY05 to FY12.

Management Contingency

FY07

Labor costs assume 1/6 FTE ME. Cost for spare parts in \$12,500. Travel:- 1 trip at \$2500 per trip or \$2500 total.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	287	0	0	287	0	129	0	158	73.5	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Mechanical Engineer R	150 18.539	150 18.539	176 21.752	0 0	176 22.899	176 23.492	176 24.104	1004 129.325
<b>R Total</b>	150 18.539	150 18.539	176 21.752	0 0	176 22.899	176 23.492	176 24.104	1004 129.325
<b>Total</b>	150 18.539	150 18.539	176 21.752	0 0	176 22.899	176 23.492	176 24.104	1004 129.325

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	32.4	33.2	141.855
Travel R	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.7	2.8	16.004
<b>R Total</b>	32.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.2	35.1	36.0	157.859
<b>Total</b>	32.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.2	35.1	36.0	157.859

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.3.2

**Description:** Cryogenics Maintenance

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the Cryogenics

Labor assumes technician 1/6 FTE in FY04 and FY05, and 1/10 FTE technician in FY06 to [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

FY12 for maintenance and recalibration costs including refrigerator and all interconnects. Travel: - 2 trips/year at \$2500 per trip or \$40,000 total from FY04 to FY12. Spare Parts at \$ 50,000 per year will also be required.

Management Contingency in FY06

FY04

Labor assumes technician 1/6 FTE

Travel: - 2 trips/year at \$2500 per trip or \$5000

Refrigerator spare parts \$50,000

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	476	0	0	476	0	81	0	395	45.8	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	176 15.379	0 0	176 15.379	0 0	176 16.19	176 16.61	176 17.042	880 80.600
<b>R Total</b>	176 15.379	0 0	176 15.379	0 0	176 16.19	176 16.61	176 17.042	880 80.600
<b>Total</b>	176 15.379	0 0	176 15.379	0 0	176 16.19	176 16.61	176 17.042	880 80.600

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	52.6	54.0	55.4	347.925
Travel R	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	47.102
<b>R Total</b>	55.0	55.0	55.0	0.0	57.9	59.4	60.9	395.027
<b>Total</b>	55.0	55.0	55.0	0.0	57.9	59.4	60.9	395.027

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.4

**Description:** FCAL

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	254	0	0	254	0	207	0	47	117.4	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Mechanical Engineer R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	100 4.202	178 7.48	178 7.48	100 4.311	178 7.874	178 8.078	178 8.288	1090 47.713
Mechanical Engineer R	0 0	260 18.811	260 18.811	160 11.877	260 19.803	880 68.763	260 20.845	2080 158.910
<b>R Total</b>	100 4.202	438 26.291	438 26.291	260 16.188	438 27.677	1058 76.841	438 29.133	3170 206.623
<b>Total</b>	100 4.202	438 26.291	438 26.291	260 16.188	438 27.677	1058 76.841	438 29.133	3170 206.623

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107
<b>R Total</b>	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107
<b>Total</b>	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107

**WBS Number:** 3.3.1.3.4.1

**Description:** FCAL

**Institution :** U. of Arizona

**Contact** Not available

FCAL maintenance Support

Supporting the FCAL will require the following resources:[Details of Estimate:](#)

ME 1/7 FTE FY07 to FY12

Computer Professional 1/10 FTE FY07 to FY12

Travel 1 trip/year or \$17,500 total from FY06 to FY12

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	254	0	0	254	0	207	0	47	117.4	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Mechanical Engineer R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	100	178	178	100	178	178	178	1090
	4.202	7.48	7.48	4.311	7.874	8.078	8.288	47.713
Mechanical Engineer R	0	260	260	160	260	880	260	2080
	0	18.811	18.811	11.877	19.803	68.763	20.845	158.910
<b>R Total</b>	100	438	438	260	438	1058	438	3170
	4.202	26.291	26.291	16.188	27.677	76.841	29.133	206.623
<b>Total</b>	100	438	438	260	438	1058	438	3170
	4.202	26.291	26.291	16.188	27.677	76.841	29.133	206.623

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107
<b>R Total</b>	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107
<b>Total</b>	2.5	7.0	8.0	0.0	6.3	10.8	2.8	47.107

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2**Description:** Electronic M&O Estimate**Institution :****Contact** Not available

The electronic M&O estimate for the Liquid Argon Calorimeter includes costs for pre-operations, operations, and

Model for the cost estimates of the M&O for the electronics and electrical systems [Details of Estimate:](#)

The front-end readout of the LAr calorimeter will be installed on the detector in FY05 through FY07 after the calorimeter's transport and integration in the pit. The pre-operations will include: the full crate test of the readout system, the long-term boards burn-in facility, a portable full crate test station for the commissioning of the calorimeter modules (in Bldg. 180) before the welding shut of the cryostat, the commissioning of the electronics readout after its installation on the detector (in the pit) and the specialized electronics for the beam tests. The system crate, optical links, Level 1 trigger system, and the ROD system will require costs for pre-operations. A long-term burn-in of the integrated system crate will be performed to flush out the infant mortality components before the commencement of operations. Documentation update (including final layouts and drawings) will be made during the commissioning stage.'

The commissioning will be done separately for the three cryostats. It will be followed by the final commissioning phase of running a complete system with the final DAQ both for the cosmic rays and for the single beam operations.

The estimates for operations and maintenance are based on the LHC run model of 7 months of proton-proton collisions, 2 months of heavy ion collisions and 3 months detector access per year. For such model, the ATLAS Liquid Argon Electronics

Coordination group estimated a need for the on-site electronics operations crew of 1 supervisory Electrical Engineer and 5 electronics technicians working in shifts. This crew (paid from the CERN Common costs with a 20% US share) will identify problem boards/components, replace with spares (if accessible) and run simple diagnostic tests. Simple repairs will be made at CERN. Boards with more difficult problems will be sent for repairs to the "home" institutions (Nevis, BNL, Pittsburgh, SMU) responsible for their maintenance. Each institution will maintain the expertise and the necessary test and repair equipment. In addition, these institutions will need to update the supply of spares from time to time as needed. This model is similar to that used e.g., at PHENIX, D0 and ZEUS.

Maintenance and operations of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter electronics in FY07-FY12 covers the following seven categories:

front-end electronics, level 1 trigger interface, ROD system electronics, power supplies, detector control and cooling systems, cables, crates, and connectors, optical links.

The numbers of the units are as follows:

The number of the Front-End Boards installed in the system:

Type	Number
Front End Board	1524
Calibration Board	122
Tower Builder Board	120
Tower Driver Board	20
Controller	114
Monitoring Board	146
LV Boards (HEC)	24
Total	2070

There are 2 cooling plates for each board and an extensive, water based cooling system.

There are 4 main types of power supplies.

Type & number installed	number of units/supply
Front End Crate supplies	63 & 18
ROD VME crate supplies	54 & 4
Level 1 Interface Crate supplies	8 & 4
HEC LV Supplies	8 & 12

The (Optical) Link components are:

Connection/type	number installed
FEB-ROD/optical	1524



System crate/optical	114
FT-Baseplane/Cu (flex)	3048
TBB-Receiver/Cu (shielded TP)	240
TDB-Receiver/Cu (shielded TP)	120

The Level 1 trigger receiver/monitor system, located in the USA15 cavern, will consist of eight 9-U VME crates filled with 16 modules each. Each module contains 64 analog channels.

The modules in the ROD system (not including TTC hardware) are:

Type	Number installed
ROD modules	192
TBM modules	16
SPAC modules	16
Total	224

The estimated failure rate of the FEB components is based on the engineering judgment and on the experience of the D0, H1 and ZEUS experiments. The failure rate will require a replacement with spares of about 100-150 readout boards during the yearly access. These boards will be diagnosed and repaired during the operations period and made ready as spares for the next access cycle. During the access, US based technicians and postdocs will supplement the operating crew, as a single board replacement will require a minimum of 3 people for several hours. US institutions must maintain a crew of technicians and a fraction of high-level electrical engineers for problem diagnoses and repairs. It is expected that during the operation's period FY07-FY12, the electrical engineers will work on the R&D and on the design of electronics for the LHC upgrade, but that they will be available for special tasks and

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	9370	0	0	9370	0	6940	0	2430	3943.2	828.7

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
<b>MANPOWER (k\$)</b>								
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Computer Professional R	4130	2907	4975	1120	2374	3259	2759	21524
	373.649	261.745	449.245	84.33	226.138	339.369	300.642	2035.118
Designer R	807	352	0	0	0	0	0	1159
	69.538	18.55	0	0	0	0	0	88.088
Electrical Engineer R	2804	7128	7791	3296	3267	1377	1396	27059
	201.809	615.627	803.512	340.549	383.32	156.096	160.289	2661.202
Grad Student R	0	1760	0	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	39.072	0	0	0	0	0	39.072
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	1760	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	217.523	0	0	0	0	217.523
Sr Research Scientist R	0	0	0	880	880	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	69.386	71.192	0	0	140.578
Technician R	3740	5272	6502	4742	1900	2781	4282	29219
	200.086	283.798	423.632	281.614	98.772	184.487	286.094	1758.483
<b>R Total</b>	11481	17419	21028	10038	8421	7417	8437	84241
	845.082	1218.792	1893.912	775.879	779.422	679.952	747.025	6940.064
<b>Total</b>	11481	17419	21028	10038	8421	7417	8437	84241
	845.082	1218.792	1893.912	775.879	779.422	679.952	747.025	6940.064

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	328.0	169.2	296.4	218.8	116.3	172.5	178.4	1635.917
Travel R	173.0	106.5	82.0	70.0	32.1	43.2	72.0	794.097
<b>R Total</b>	<b>501.0</b>	<b>275.7</b>	<b>378.4</b>	<b>288.8</b>	<b>148.4</b>	<b>215.7</b>	<b>250.4</b>	<b>2430.014</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>501.0</b>	<b>275.7</b>	<b>378.4</b>	<b>288.8</b>	<b>148.4</b>	<b>215.7</b>	<b>250.4</b>	<b>2430.014</b>

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1

**Description:** Pre-Operations and Commissioning

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	1796	0	0	1796	0	1350	0	446	767.2	82.9

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Designer R

Electrical Engineer R

Grad Student R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	2110	868	1100	600	0	0	0	4678
	176.134	68.039	73.5	41.133	0	0	0	358.806
Designer R	807	352	0	0	0	0	0	1159
	69.538	18.55	0	0	0	0	0	88.088
Electrical Engineer R	2404	4112	1875	500	0	0	0	8891
	159.383	264.065	95.103	27.434	0	0	0	545.985
Grad Student R	0	1760	0	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	39.072	0	0	0	0	0	39.072
Technician R	1880	1620	810	1760	0	0	0	6070
	105.579	76.111	48.306	88.341	0	0	0	318.337
<b>R Total</b>	7201	8712	3785	2860	0	0	0	22558
	510.634	465.837	216.909	156.908	0	0	0	1350.288
<b>Total</b>	7201	8712	3785	2860	0	0	0	22558
	510.634	465.837	216.909	156.908	0	0	0	1350.288

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	186.0	25.0	0.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	239.251
Travel R	90.5	47.5	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	206.572
<b>R Total</b>	276.5	72.5	13.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	445.823
<b>Total</b>	276.5	72.5	13.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	445.823

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3

**Description:** System Crate Integration

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	987	0	0	987	0	659	0	328	374.5	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	630 62.914	40 2.673	800 53.455	600 41.133	0 0	0 0	0 0	2070 160.175
Designer R	660 61.791	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	660 61.791
Electrical Engineer R	770 55.2	820 43.851	920 49.199	500 27.434	0 0	0 0	0 0	3010 175.684
Technician R	1380 86.742	1320 64.809	440 21.526	1760 88.341	0 0	0 0	0 0	4900 261.418
<b>R Total</b>	3440 266.647	2180 111.333	2160 124.18	2860 156.908	0 0	0 0	0 0	10640 659.068
<b>Total</b>	3440 266.647	2180 111.333	2160 124.18	2860 156.908	0 0	0 0	0 0	10640 659.068

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	140.3	15.0	0.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	183.584
Travel R	49.5	42.5	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	144.650
<b>R Total</b>	189.8	57.5	13.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	328.234
<b>Total</b>	189.8	57.5	13.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	328.234

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.1

**Description:** Crate Documentation update

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Takai

Crate Documentation update.

Task includes an update of drawings and documentation in the CDD format of all mechanical drawings, services, power and water connections etc.

Engineering judgement **Basis of Estimate:**

Labor cost assumes 1/3 FTE of a mechanical designer (Jason Farrel), 1/4 software professional (Saroj Kandasamy) to design and implement the data basis i n FY05 and FY06

The estimate is based on upgrading 16 drawings 4 times with changes at an average of 10 hours per drawing. It is assumed that at least 4 iterations of design changes would be required during commissioning and electrical testing in the pit.

Base & infrastructure

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	106	0	0	106	0	106	0	0	60.1	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	440 43.94	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	440 43.940
Designer R	660 61.791	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	660 61.791
<b>R Total</b>	1100 105.731	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1100 105.731
<b>Total</b>	1100 105.731	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1100 105.731

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.2

**Description:** System Electronic Integration

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

Full crate system test. Task includes supervision and debugging of the pre-production series of the FEBs and integration of the electronics system in the crate at BNL. It also includes a production of special VME readout boards replacing the ROD system that will not be available for this test. The design and construction will start in FY03 and continue in FY04. It will also include tests of the cooling system, power supplies, links,DCS, etc.

Engineering judgement **Basis of Estimate:**

The task will require **Details of Estimate:**

In FY04: ¼ FTE of an electrical engineer (J. Ban) to supervise the production and to debug the boards (20 boards at 3 days each);

¼ of an electrical technician (N. Bishop) to manage the components stock and re-work

Cost of hardware components: \$15,000. Cost of test equipment - power supply, crate, etc, \$15,000.

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor costs assumes ¼ experienced Physicist in FY04 for technical guidance and decision making during integration.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.3

**Description:** Facilities

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

Test and maintenance system for the FEB at CERN. The system will be built in FY04 and used for the pre-operations in the West Hall. In FY05-FY12 this system will be used for the testing and identification of problems of the FEBs removed from the experiment due to malfunction.

US share of the overall cost of the test station is 59% (following the MOU share). The test station system will include: VME crate, front-end crate, power supply, cooling, links, trigger modules, level 1 receiver, DAQ, ROD and test and maintenance equipment. The system will be located initially in the West Hall and then moved to the facility located near Point 1. The operations of the facility will be supported by the common costs.

Labor costs assume **Details of Estimate:**

1/6 FTE EE in FY05 for the overall system design and supervision of its construction; 1/6 FTE ET for procurements and assembly. For the purpose of this estimate we assume that the cooling system will be adapted from the BNL test system.

Material costs include: test equipment (scope \$20k, soldering equipment \$5k, pulse generators \$10k, VME bus analyzer \$3k, small tools and supplies \$12k) i.e, \$50k total.

Tools replacement will be needed every 3 years on average or \$15k/year in FY05-FY07.

Travel:- 4 trips at \$2500/trip or \$10,000 will be required in FY05 - FY07.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	174	0	0	174	0	88	0	86	50.2	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	0	0	1760	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	88.341	0	0	0	88.341
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	0	1760	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	88.341	0	0	0	88.341
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	1760	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	88.341	0	0	0	88.341

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	16.0	15.0	0.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.650
Travel R	10.0	10.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.980
<b>R Total</b>	26.0	25.0	3.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.630
<b>Total</b>	26.0	25.0	3.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.630

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.4

**Description:** Systems Crate Pre-operations

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Engineering and technical manpower required to run the System Crate in the ATLAS hall at CERN.

Costs assume 1/4 FTE EE 1/2 FTE ET, and 1/12 FTE SW Prof will be needed for pre-[Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

operations in FY05. In FY06 and FY07, 1/7 FTE EE, 1/2 FTE FT, and 1/9 Computer Professional will be required. Travel: - 8 trips/year at \$2500/trip or \$60,000 will be required in FY05 to FY07.

**Base & infrastructure**

1/2 FTE Experienced Physicist to oversee and provide technical support for pre-operations of the System Crate in FY06 and FY07. Travel: - 4 trips/year at \$2500/trip will be required.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	162	0	0	162	0	87	0	75	49.7	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	190
	18.974	0	0	0	0	0	0	18.974
Electrical Engineer R	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
	24.718	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.718
Technician R	500	0	0	0	0	0	0	500
	43.691	0	0	0	0	0	0	43.691
<b>R Total</b>	890	0	0	0	0	0	0	890
	87.383	0	0	0	0	0	0	87.383
<b>Total</b>	890	0	0	0	0	0	0	890
	87.383	0	0	0	0	0	0	87.383

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	29.5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.720
<b>R Total</b>	29.5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.720
<b>Total</b>	29.5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.720

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.5

**Description:** System Crate pre-ops - optical links

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Engineering and technical manpower for pre-operations and commissioning of the optical links at CERN.

FY04: Design and implementation of the links for the combined calorimeter test beam. Task includes design, building, installation and commissioning of 20 transition boards and link: PCB layout, PCB manufacturing, component loading, fibers, connectors, opto-electronics components, installation in the test beam and software modifications.

FY05, FY06 and FY07:

- 1) Commissioning of the optical links between FEB and ROD (1638 units), creation of the monitoring software, data bases and graphic displays.
- 2) Design and construction of links for the FEB and the ROD test stations (number of links not yet determined).

Commissioning of the links will occur in separate periods for the three cryostats: barrel in FY05/06, EndcapC in FY06 and EndcapA in FY06/07.

Each period will require separate travel.

FY04: Initial commissioning of the optical links for the barrel calorimeter in the pit. 1/12 FTE [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

EE

FY05 and FY06:

- 1) Commissioning of the optical links between FEB and ROD (1638 units), creation of the monitoring software, databases and graphic displays.
- 2) Design and construction of links for the FEB and ROD test stations (number of links needed not yet determined)

Basis of estimate: Material cost: \$5k for optical power meter and fiber splicing and test equipment, \$5k for the equipment to repair optical connectors, \$8k for the portable digital scope in FY06, \$20k for the components of the test stations links in FY05; project labor: in FY05: 1/3 FTE EE, 1/2 FTE Et, 1/3 FTE software professional; in FY06: 1/6 FTE EE, 1/4 FTE ET, 1/6 FTE software professional; travel: 8 trips in FY05 and FY06, 8 trips in FY07 at \$2,5k each.

Base and infrastructure: 1/3 FTE experienced physicist + 1 postdoc + 1/2 graduate student. Travel: 6 trips @\$2.5k per year or \$30k.

Labor and material reduced and moved to MC for FY06

Update December 06: the commissioning period extended through 2008.

Work will be shared by SMU Engineers and techs: Annie Xiang, Andy Liu and John Yang

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	311	0	0	311	0	235	0	77	133.3	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Computer Professional R	0	40	800	600	0	0	0	1440
	0	2.673	53.455	41.133	0	0	0	97.261
Electrical Engineer R	350	600	700	500	0	0	0	2150
	18.717	32.086	37.434	27.434	0	0	0	115.671
Technician R	0	440	0	0	0	0	0	440
	0	21.758	0	0	0	0	0	21.758
<b>R Total</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>1080</b>	<b>1500</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4030</b>
	18.717	56.517	90.889	68.567	0	0	0	234.690

<b>Total</b>	350	1080	1500	1100	0	0	0	4030
	18.717	56.517	90.889	68.567	0	0	0	234.690

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b>	<b>FY 07</b>	<b>FY 08</b>	<b>FY 09</b>	<b>FY 10</b>	<b>FY 11</b>	<b>FY 12</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b>
	<b>(k\$)</b>							
Other R	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.667
Travel R	10.0	12.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.950
<b>R Total</b>	45.7	12.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.617
<b>Total</b>	45.7	12.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.617

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.6

**Description:** System Crate - Crate Burn In

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

Setup, and perform long term burn-in on the integrated Front-End readout System Crate and maintain the burn-in test setup. Determine any long-term aging degradation that may cause experiment performance problems.

1/2 FTE EE will be required for setup in FY05 (install system elements, connect power, [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

integrate and debug) and 1/2 FTE, ET per year in FY06 - FY07 to operate and maintain it. Cost for equipment (power supplies, support structures, and water-cooling system) \$25K in FY04 to FY06.

**Base & Infrastructure**

1 FTE Senior Physicist in FY04 to FY07

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	151	0	0	151	0	108	0	43	61.2	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	880 43.051	880 43.051	440 21.526	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2200 107.628
<b>R Total</b>	880 43.051	880 43.051	440 21.526	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2200 107.628
<b>Total</b>	880 43.051	880 43.051	440 21.526	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2200 107.628

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	43.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.000
<b>R Total</b>	43.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.000
<b>Total</b>	43.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.000

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.3.7

**Description:** Crate burn-in

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Long term burn-in of the Feb and link components: ADC s, Optical transmitters and optical receivers

The accelerated aging test will consists of the high temperature oven operated for 6 month [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

with components operation monitored on-line.

Labor 1/8 of EE in FY06 nad FY07, materials \$10,000

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	84	0	0	84	0	35	0	48	20.1	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Electrical Engineer R

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	220 11.765	220 11.765	220 11.765	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	660 35.295
<b>R Total</b>	220 11.765	220 11.765	220 11.765	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	660 35.295
<b>Total</b>	220 11.765	220 11.765	220 11.765	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	660 35.295

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	45.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.267
<b>R Total</b>	45.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.267
<b>Total</b>	45.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.267

**CONTINGENCY  
FACTORS:**

<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.4

**Description:** Front End Board

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	60	0	0	60	0	47	0	13	26.7	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Electrical Engineer R

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
	440	440	0	0	0	0	0	880
	23.53	23.53	0	0	0	0	0	47.060
<b>R Total</b>	440	440	0	0	0	0	0	880
	23.53	23.53	0	0	0	0	0	47.060
<b>Total</b>	440	440	0	0	0	0	0	880
	23.53	23.53	0	0	0	0	0	47.060

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

Travel R

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.4.1

**Description:** FEB Documentation update

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** J. Ye

Documentation update optical links

Engineering judgement **Basis of Estimate:**

Task includes review and update of documentation for optical links in CDD format. The **Details of**

**Estimate:**

layout and drawings for the optical transmitter (FEB side), optical receiver (ROD side), fiber distribution system, patch panels and laser eye-safety boxes.

Labor costs assume ¼ FTE of an electrical engineer (A. Liu) in FY05 and FY06. The material costs for software licences (schematic capture and layout) \$8,000. Travel - 4 trips to CERN/year at \$2500 per trip or \$20,000

Base & infrastructure

Labor cost assumes 1/10 Physicist in FY 05 to review and support documentation update

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	60	0	0	60	0	47	0	13	26.7	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	880 47.060
<b>R Total</b>	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	880 47.060
<b>Total</b>	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	880 47.060

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i g n</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.5

**Description:** Level 1 Trigger

**Institution :**

**Contact** W. Cleland

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	452	0	0	452	0	408	0	44	231.9	82.9

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	880	528	0	0	0	0	0	1408
	75.535	45.321	0	0	0	0	0	120.856
Electrical Engineer R	747	2200	680	0	0	0	0	3627
	46.069	136.245	31.198	0	0	0	0	213.512
Grad Student R	0	1760	0	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	39.072	0	0	0	0	0	39.072
Technician R	500	300	120	0	0	0	0	920
	18.837	11.302	4.521	0	0	0	0	34.660
<b>R Total</b>	2127	4788	800	0	0	0	0	7715
	140.441	231.94	35.719	0	0	0	0	408.100
<b>Total</b>	2127	4788	800	0	0	0	0	7715
	140.441	231.94	35.719	0	0	0	0	408.100

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.000
Travel R	11.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.760
<b>R Total</b>	21.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.760
<b>Total</b>	21.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.760

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.5.1

**Description:** L1 Trig documentation update

**Institution :** University of Pittsburg

**Contact** B. Cleland

Documentation update Level 1 trigger to be done in the CDD format and transmitted to the ATLAS database.

Task includes a review and update of technical layout and drawings (5 layer sum boards, 7 **Details of**

**Estimate:**

drawings for the level 1 receiver system) remapping boards specifications (20 drawings) at 2 days/ drawing.

Labor costs assume 64 man-days of EE (j. Rabel) and ¼ FTE technician (G. Zuk) in FY04.

The material costs for software licenses (Schematic captures and layout software) is \$10,000.

Travel: - 2 trips to CERN at \$2500 per trip or \$5,000.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.5.2

**Description:** Pre-operations Level 1 Trigger

**Institution :** University of Pittsburg

**Contact** V. Paolone

Engineering and technical manpower required to commission the Level 1 Trigger Receiver System at CERN. Task includes pulsing of the system and comparing the signal transmission with the output of the real data signals. This systems provides unique capability to diagnose analog signals problems in the front-end readout chain. The commissioning of the calorimeter system will be done in four sessions parallel to the commissioning of the front end crates and will take place during FY05 - FY07. 128 boards at 2 days/board will be commissioned.

Update december 06: additional work requires new remapping boards and new summing boards for the inner wheel of the EMEC

The commissioning is done in several stages due to unavailability of the power supplies for the FECs

Labor costs assume:**Details of Estimate:**

64 days of EE and 64 days of ET in FY04;

5/12 FTE of EE and 5/12 FTE ET per year in FY 05 - FY07

Material costs include digital scope (\$20k), pulse generator (\$6k), spectrum analyzer (\$17k), small tools, voltmeters, PC and cables (\$10k) or a total of \$53k

Travel: -2 trips at \$2,500 in FY04 and 4 extended trips/year to CERN at \$4,000 per trip in FY05 - FY07.

Part of the cost is put into management contingency

Base & Infrastructure

1/2 FTE Faculty and 1/2 Post Doc in FY05 to FY06.

update december 05: The commissioning involves 1 EE, 1.5 FTE term scientist and 1 grad student working as technician

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	237	0	0	237	0	213	0	24	121.1	82.9

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	600 27.528	1760 80.749	680 31.198	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3040 139.475
Grad Student R	0 0	1760 39.072	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1760 39.072
Technician R	500 18.837	300 11.302	120 4.521	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	920 34.660
<b>R Total</b>	1100 46.365	3820 131.123	800 35.719	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	5720 213.207
<b>Total</b>	1100 46.365	3820 131.123	800 35.719	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	5720 213.207

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	11.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.760
<b>R Total</b>	11.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.760

<b>Total</b>	11.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.760
--------------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--------

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.5.3

**Description:** FEB documentation update

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** J. Parsons

Task include review and update of the FEB documentation in the CDD format after the completion of the commissioning of the readout system on the detector. In FY06 it will require a review and updaqte of 25 schematics and layout drawings and 5 mechanical assembly drawings. It will also include creation in FY05 and update in FY06-FY07 of the data base for the components on the FEB.

Labor include in FY05 1/12 of the EE to review the drawings and 1/2 FTE Computer Professional to create the data base.

In FY06 and FY07 1/12 FTE of computer professional will be needed for a final review and update of the data base.

Material cost: data base license and software licence fees \$10k/year in FY04-FY06

update january 2007: Manpower: 0.15 FTE EE ( B. Sippach)  
0.1 FTE EE (L. Zhang)  
0.3 FTE Comp pro (W. Seligman)

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	215	0	0	215	0	195	0	20	110.7	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	880	528	0	0	0	0	0	1408
	75.535	45.321	0	0	0	0	0	120.856
Electrical Engineer R	147	440	0	0	0	0	0	587
	18.541	55.496	0	0	0	0	0	74.037
<b>R Total</b>	1027	968	0	0	0	0	0	1995
	94.076	100.817	0	0	0	0	0	194.893
<b>Total</b>	1027	968	0	0	0	0	0	1995
	94.076	100.817	0	0	0	0	0	194.893

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.000
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.000
<b>Total</b>	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.000

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.6

**Description:** ROD System

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	297	0	0	297	0	236	0	61	134.1	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	600	300	300	0	0	0	0	1200
	37.685	20.045	20.045	0	0	0	0	77.775
Designer R	147	352	0	0	0	0	0	499
	7.747	18.55	0	0	0	0	0	26.297
Electrical Engineer R	447	652	275	0	0	0	0	1374
	34.584	60.439	14.706	0	0	0	0	109.729
Technician R	0	0	250	0	0	0	0	250
	0	0	22.259	0	0	0	0	22.259
<b>R Total</b>	1194	1304	825	0	0	0	0	3323
	80.016	99.034	57.01	0	0	0	0	236.060
<b>Total</b>	1194	1304	825	0	0	0	0	3323
	80.016	99.034	57.01	0	0	0	0	236.060

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.667
Travel R	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.562
<b>R Total</b>	55.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.229
<b>Total</b>	55.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.229

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.6.1

**Description:** ROD Documentation update

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

Documentation update ROD. The PU of the ROD has been designed at Nevis and implemented at LAPP. The documentation update will include all changes made during the production and commissioning stages. Labor cost assume 1/12 FTE of EE (W. Sipach) to review the drawings (10 drawings) in FY06.

Engineering judgement **Basis of Estimate:**

Labor costs assume 1/12 FTE Electrical Engineer, AND 1/15 of an electrical designer in **Details of**

**Estimate:**

FY05. The material costs for artwork is \$2,000.

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor cost assumes 1/10 Physicist in FY 05 to review and support documentation update

Update January 2007: Manpower 0.1 FTE EE (B. Sippach)  
 0.1 FTE EE (L. Zhang)  
 0.2 FTE Comp Pro (W. Seligman)

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	89	0	0	89	0	89	0	0	50.7	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Designer R	147	352	0	0	0	0	0	499
	7.747	18.55	0	0	0	0	0	26.297
Electrical Engineer R	147	352	0	0	0	0	0	499
	18.541	44.396	0	0	0	0	0	62.937
<b>R Total</b>	294	704	0	0	0	0	0	998
	26.288	62.946	0	0	0	0	0	89.234
<b>Total</b>	294	704	0	0	0	0	0	998
	26.288	62.946	0	0	0	0	0	89.234

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.6.2

**Description:** FEB pre-operations in West Hall

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Parsons

Engineering and technical manpower required for pre-operations of the Feb in the test system used in West Hall at CERN and in the temporary arrangements in the cavern

Management Contingency **Details of Estimate:**

Costs assume 1/12 FTE EE (J. Ban) will be needed in FY05-FY07.

Travel: - 4 trips/year in FY05-FY07 at \$2500/trip

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	13	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.600

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.6.3

**Description:** HV - SBU

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact**

Engineering and technical manpower required to commission the HV system at CERN.  
Task includes commissioning of HV feethrough an dHV power supplies.

Costs assume SW Prof will be needed to write the ROD based link monitoring and control [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

software for the ROD crate CPU. 80 hours in FY05 and 1/4 FTE in FY06.

Travel: 2 trips to CERN at \$2,500 each in FY05 - for the discussion with other ROD software designers and in FY06 for the installation.

Update december 06; cost assumes SB professor supervising technican (Steffens) 0.2 FTE/year plus travel

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	47	0	0	47	0	40	0	7	22.7	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	300 17.64	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	300 17.640
Technician R	0 0	0 0	250 22.259	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	250 22.259
<b>R Total</b>	300 17.64	0 0	250 22.259	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	550 39.899
<b>Total</b>	300 17.64	0 0	250 22.259	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	550 39.899

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.662
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.662
<b>Total</b>	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.662

**CONTINGENCY  
FACTORS:**

<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.1.6.4

**Description:** System crate Pre-ops ROD - SMU

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Engineering and technical manpower required to commission the ROD System at CERN

Costs assume 1/6 SW Computer Professional (T. Ryan) and 1/4 EE (Wakeland) will be [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

needed in FY05 and FY06. Travel 4 trips/year at \$2500/trip

Part of the FY07 cost is put into management contingency

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	149	0	0	149	0	107	0	42	60.8	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Electrical Engineer R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	300 20.045	300 20.045	300 20.045	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	900 60.135
Electrical Engineer R	300 16.043	300 16.043	275 14.706	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	875 46.792
<b>R Total</b>	600 36.088	600 36.088	575 34.751	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1775 106.927
<b>Total</b>	600 36.088	600 36.088	575 34.751	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1775 106.927

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.667
Travel R	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.300
<b>R Total</b>	40.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.967
<b>Total</b>	40.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.967

**CONTINGENCY  
FACTORS:**

	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2

**Description:** Operations

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	4397	0	0	4397	0	3629	0	768	2062.0	588.4

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	2020	2039	3875	520	2374	3259	2759	16846
	197.515	193.706	375.745	43.197	226.138	339.369	300.642	1676.312
Electrical Engineer R	400	2740	2120	1300	1600	980	100	9240
	42.426	337.562	259.363	159.994	203.99	124.826	5.084	1133.245
Sr Research Scientist R	0	0	0	880	880	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	69.386	71.192	0	0	140.578
Technician R	1860	2420	2420	1120	1340	1340	2420	12920
	94.507	121.903	121.903	53.447	75.075	77.022	135.08	678.937
<b>R Total</b>	4280	7199	8415	3820	6194	5579	5279	40766
	334.448	653.171	757.011	326.024	576.395	541.217	440.806	3629.072
<b>Total</b>	4280	7199	8415	3820	6194	5579	5279	40766
	334.448	653.171	757.011	326.024	576.395	541.217	440.806	3629.072

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	61.0	78.0	42.0	36.9	52.6	32.4	33.2	401.768
Travel R	82.5	48.0	22.5	26.7	15.8	27.0	49.9	365.818
<b>R Total</b>	143.5	126.0	64.5	63.6	68.4	59.4	83.1	767.586
<b>Total</b>	143.5	126.0	64.5	63.6	68.4	59.4	83.1	767.586

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.1

**Description:** Motherboard System

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.2

**Description:** Preamp/Calibration

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	156	0	0	156	0	156	0	0	88.8	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	50 4.993	50 4.993	50 4.993	50 5.123	50 5.256	50 5.393	50 5.533	350 36.284
Technician R	220 19.224	220 19.224	220 19.224	0 0	220 20.237	220 20.762	220 21.302	1320 119.973
<b>R Total</b>	270 24.217	270 24.217	270 24.217	50 5.123	270 25.493	270 26.155	270 26.835	1670 156.257
<b>Total</b>	270 24.217	270 24.217	270 24.217	50 5.123	270 25.493	270 26.155	270 26.835	1670 156.257

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.2.1

**Description:** Preamp Operations

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	156	0	0	156	0	156	0	0	88.8	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Computer Professional R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	50 4.993	50 4.993	50 4.993	50 5.123	50 5.256	50 5.393	50 5.533	350 36.284
Technician R	220 19.224	220 19.224	220 19.224	0 0	220 20.237	220 20.762	220 21.302	1320 119.973
<b>R Total</b>	270 24.217	270 24.217	270 24.217	50 5.123	270 25.493	270 26.155	270 26.835	1670 156.257
<b>Total</b>	270 24.217	270 24.217	270 24.217	50 5.123	270 25.493	270 26.155	270 26.835	1670 156.257

**CONTINGENCY  
FACTORS:**

<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.2.2

**Description:** Calibration Operations

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3

**Description:** System Crate

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	3718	0	0	3718	0	3343	0	375	1899.3	459.1

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	1970 192.522	1989 188.713	3825 370.752	470 38.074	2324 220.882	3209 333.976	2709 295.109	16496 1640.028
Electrical Engineer R	300 37.838	2640 332.974	2020 254.775	1200 155.287	1500 199.16	880 119.871	0 0	8540 1099.905
Sr Research Scientist R	0 0	0 0	0 0	880 69.386	880 71.192	0 0	0 0	1760 140.578
Technician R	1200 58.706	1760 86.102	1760 86.102	880 44.17	880 45.32	880 46.495	1760 95.41	9120 462.305
<b>R Total</b>	3470 289.066	6389 607.789	7605 711.629	3430 306.917	5584 536.554	4969 500.342	4469 390.519	35916 3342.816
<b>Total</b>	3470 289.066	6389 607.789	7605 711.629	3430 306.917	5584 536.554	4969 500.342	4469 390.519	35916 3342.816

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	55.0	30.0	0.0	44.2	21.6	22.2	172.975
Travel R	62.5	30.0	5.0	7.2	5.3	16.2	27.7	201.721
<b>R Total</b>	62.5	85.0	35.0	7.2	49.5	37.8	49.9	374.696
<b>Total</b>	62.5	85.0	35.0	7.2	49.5	37.8	49.9	374.696

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3.1

**Description:** Readout elec comm. and ops

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

The FEB will be commissioned after their installation on the detector during FY05 and FY07. The detector operations and maintenance will be in FY07-FY12.

The commissioning task includes supervision and problem solving during commissioning, creation and maintenance of the monitoring and calibration data bases, creation of graphic displays, etc. The commissioning will follow the installation for each of the three cryostats. The final phase will consist of the commissioning of the overall system with the final DAQ.

During the experiment operations it is estimated that about 100 FEB boards will be replaced from spares during each yearly access. They will be diagnosed at CERN by the CERN based operations crew. About 10% of them will be

For FY06 and FY07 1/6 FTE EE to supervise and solve the problems during the **Details of Estimate:** commissioning; 1FTE ET in FY06 and 1/2 FTE in FY07 to perform the commissioning. For FY06, 1/2 FTE Software Professional to create and maintain monitoring and calibration software, databases and graphic displays. Travel: 4 trips in FY05 , FY06 6 trips, FY07 4 trips at \$2,500 and \$30,000 CERN living expenses supplement/year

In FY07-FY12:

1 FTE ET to support electronics boards: repairs, replacement of spares, replenishing of stock, additional radiation qualifications, maintenance of the test and repairs station, etc.; 1/6 FTE Software Professional to maintain and update the FEB monitoring and calibration software.

Material replacement cost of the test setup will require \$15k/year for the tools replacement. Shipping cost \$5k/year. Travel: 1 trip/year at \$2500 per trip

Management Contingency

1/2 FTE Software Professional to create and maintain monitoring and calibration software, data bases and graphic displays in FY05

travel: \$15k in FY05 to support the technician during the commissioning.

Technician and computer professional moved to MC for FY06+07

Base & infrastructure

1/4 FTE experienced physicist, 1 FTE faculty, 2 FTE postdocs, and 2 grad students will be required to support hardware and provide technical expertise in FY07 and FY08. During the next phase of the experiment operations, this number will be reduced and 0.2 FTE faculty, 1 FTE post doc, and 1 grad student will be needed during FY09 to FY012. Travel 2trips/year at \$2,500 per trip or \$30,000 total from FY07 to FY12.

update december 06: commissioning of the Febs has slipped by 2 years. The number of problem boards is large: 50% require intervention and 15% require replacement and repairs.

Work is provided by electrical engineers: J. Ban(at CERN) and W. Sippach(at Nevis) and a CERN based technician

At CERN: Manpower 0.5 FTE EE (J. Ban)  
 1.0 FTE ET (A. Akimov)  
 0.5 FTE Ee (F. Spano)  
 0.5 FTE Ee (K. Copic)  
 M&S Tools and repair equipment \$30k  
 accelerated FEB lifetime test, FEB test station, spares, shipping  
 At Nevis Manpower 0.5 FTE ET (N. Bishop)  
 M&S Tools and repair equipment \$5k

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	2136	0	0	2136	0	1865	0	271	1059.8	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	300 25.751	0 0	800 68.668	300 26.42	880 79.516	800 74.162	300 28.534	3380 303.051
Electrical Engineer R	300 37.838	2640 332.974	2020 254.775	1200 155.287	1500 199.16	880 119.871	0 0	8540 1099.905
Technician R	1200 58.706	1760 86.102	1760 86.102	880 44.17	880 45.32	880 46.495	1760 95.41	9120 462.305
<b>R Total</b>	1800 122.295	4400 419.076	4580 409.545	2380 225.877	3260 323.996	2560 240.528	2060 123.944	21040 1865.261
<b>Total</b>	1800 122.295	4400 419.076	4580 409.545	2380 225.877	3260 323.996	2560 240.528	2060 123.944	21040 1865.261

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	55.0	30.0	0.0	44.2	21.6	22.2	172.975
Travel R	50.0	20.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.8	98.243
<b>R Total</b>	50.0	75.0	32.5	0.0	44.2	24.3	24.9	271.218
<b>Total</b>	50.0	75.0	32.5	0.0	44.2	24.3	24.9	271.218

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3.2

**Description:** Crate ops Software Support

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Operations software support for the Crate

Software support will be required for detector operations as related to the crate. The software [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

support includes:

1. Software maintenance during detector operations as related to the crate
2. Commissioning support
3. Data organization and storage
4. Data Quality Control

Software support will also be required for the Slow Control System operations. This includes:

1. Front \_End Crate monitoring and configuration
2. Power supply system monitoring and configuration
3. Cooling monitoring

7/16 FTE SW professional in FY04, 5/8 FTE SW PROFESSIONAL IN FY05, FY06 (Saroj Kandasamy), 13/15 FTE SW Professional in FY07 and 5/8 FTE SW prof. per year starting in FY08 to FY12 will be required to support the software for crate commissioning, crate slow controls, data organization and control, maintaining all the databases and quality control. Travel 4 trips per year from FY07 to FY12 at \$2500/trip or \$60000

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor costs assume 1/10 Physicist and 1/2 FTE post doc in FY07 and FY09 and 1/10 FTE physicist and 1/4 FTE post doc in FY 10 to 12 to support the software and provide technical expertise for crate controls, status of the crate cooling system, crate voltages and temperatures, and maintain all the databases. Travel – 1 trip per year at \$2500/trip or \$25000

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	666	0	0	666	0	638	0	28	362.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	495 49.432	424 42.342	1760 175.758	0	1144 120.264	1144 123.382	1144 126.593	6111 637.771
<b>R Total</b>	495 49.432	424 42.342	1760 175.758	0	1144 120.264	1144 123.382	1144 126.593	6111 637.771
<b>Total</b>	495 49.432	424 42.342	1760 175.758	0	1144 120.264	1144 123.382	1144 126.593	6111 637.771

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	28.048

<b>R Total</b>	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	28.048
<b>Total</b>	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	28.048

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3.3

**Description:** BNL ops Physicist support and management

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Operations Physicist support and management for BNL equipment

Labor cost assumes 1 FTE experienced physicist starting in FY05 to FY07 and 1/2 FTE post [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

doc in FY08 to FY12 dedicated for problems that will occur in operations of the Crate. Travel – 3 trips per year at \$2500/ trip or \$60,000.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	459.1

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3.5

**Description:** LAr Data Base Operation

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

LAr ATLAS Database operations include:[Details of Estimate:](#)

1. Design, implementation, and maintenance of a database for the electronics production.
2. Integration of the databases in the CERN/ATLAS computing facilities.
3. Implementation and maintenance of the installation databases during detector commissioning.
4. Maintenance and management of the front-end configuration database during DAQ operations.
5. Maintenance and operations of Condition Databases.

1/2 FTE in FY04 (Soroj Kandasamy), 1FTE in FY05 and FY06, 3/4 FTE in FY07 to FY12 of a computer professional to support the Lar ATLAS data base operations.

Travel: 1 trip/year at \$2,500/trip in FY04 to FY12 or \$22,500

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	666	0	0	666	0	646	0	20	367.3	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	1175	1265	1265	0	0	1265	1265	6235
	117.339	126.326	126.326	0	0	136.432	139.982	646.405
<b>R Total</b>	1175	1265	1265	0	0	1265	1265	6235
	117.339	126.326	126.326	0	0	136.432	139.982	646.405
<b>Total</b>	1175	1265	1265	0	0	1265	1265	6235
	117.339	126.326	126.326	0	0	136.432	139.982	646.405

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.8	19.579
<b>R Total</b>	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.8	19.579
<b>Total</b>	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.8	19.579

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.3.6

**Description:** SMU Data Base Operation

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Task includes creation and maintenance of the optical links monitoring system interfaced to the DAQ, creation of the data base and graphic displays as well as safety interlock for the lasers.

Update december 06: new tasks include merger and maintenance of the construction and condition data bases to be used during data taking

Task will require 1/12 FTE software professional in FY05 and 1/3 FTE Software Professional [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

in FY06 and FY07 to create the monitoring and control software and interface it with the DAQ system; 1/12 FTE from FY07 to FY12 of a computer professional to support the data base operation.

Travel: 4 trips/year at \$2,500/trip.

Update december 06: task requires 1/2 FTE research scientisy or software professional in 07 , 08 and 09

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	249	0	0	249	0	193	0	56	109.9	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	300	0	170	300	0	0	770
	0	20.045	0	11.654	21.102	0	0	52.801
Sr Research Scientist R	0	0	0	880	880	0	0	1760
	0	0	0	69.386	71.192	0	0	140.578
<b>R Total</b>	0	300	0	1050	1180	0	0	2530
	0	20.045	0	81.04	92.294	0	0	193.379
<b>Total</b>	0	300	0	1050	1180	0	0	2530
	0	20.045	0	81.04	92.294	0	0	193.379

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	5.3	10.8	11.1	55.851
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	5.3	10.8	11.1	55.851
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	5.3	10.8	11.1	55.851

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.4

**Description:** Front End Board

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.4.1

**Description:** FEB operations Software Support

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.5

**Description:** Level 1 Trigger

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	457	0	0	457	0	130	0	327	73.9	129.3

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Electrical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	100 4.588	100 4.588	100 4.588	100 4.707	100 4.83	100 4.955	100 5.084	700 33.340
Technician R	440 16.577	440 16.577	440 16.577	240 9.277	240 9.518	240 9.765	440 18.368	2480 96.659
<b>R Total</b>	540 21.165	540 21.165	540 21.165	340 13.984	340 14.348	340 14.72	540 23.452	3180 129.999
<b>Total</b>	540 21.165	540 21.165	540 21.165	340 13.984	340 14.348	340 14.72	540 23.452	3180 129.999

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	61.0	23.0	12.0	36.9	8.4	10.8	11.1	228.793
Travel R	10.0	18.0	17.5	9.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	97.735
<b>R Total</b>	71.0	41.0	29.5	46.2	8.4	10.8	22.2	326.528
<b>Total</b>	71.0	41.0	29.5	46.2	8.4	10.8	22.2	326.528

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.5.2

**Description:** Pitts ops support and mgmt

**Institution :** University of Pittsburgh

**Contact** V. Paolone

Operations support and management of the Level 1 receiver system and layer sum boards.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	328	0	0	328	0	130	0	198	73.9	129.3

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Electrical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	100 4.588	100 4.588	100 4.588	100 4.707	100 4.83	100 4.955	100 5.084	700 33.340
Technician R	440 16.577	440 16.577	440 16.577	240 9.277	240 9.518	240 9.765	440 18.368	2480 96.659
<b>R Total</b>	540 21.165	540 21.165	540 21.165	340 13.984	340 14.348	340 14.72	540 23.452	3180 129.999
<b>Total</b>	540 21.165	540 21.165	540 21.165	340 13.984	340 14.348	340 14.72	540 23.452	3180 129.999

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	56.0	18.0	7.0	31.8	8.4	5.4	5.5	197.723
<b>R Total</b>	56.0	18.0	7.0	31.8	8.4	5.4	5.5	197.723
<b>Total</b>	56.0	18.0	7.0	31.8	8.4	5.4	5.5	197.723

**CONTINGENCY  
FACTORS:**

	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.5.3

**Description:** Pitts operations support

**Institution :** University of Pittsburgh

**Contact** Savinov

Operations support for software controls of the LV1 system. Task will include update of the control software in the DAQ system that monitors the performance of the LV1 receiver system. Operational system upgrades will be done

**Details of Estimate:**

Travel will be 4 trip per year at \$2,500/trip from FY05 to FY12.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	129	0	0	129	0	0	0	129	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.1	0.0	5.4	5.5	31.070
Travel R	10.0	18.0	17.5	9.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	97.735
<b>R Total</b>	15.0	23.0	22.5	14.4	0.0	5.4	16.6	128.805
<b>Total</b>	15.0	23.0	22.5	14.4	0.0	5.4	16.6	128.805

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.5.4

**Description:**

**Institution :**

**Contact** Savinov

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.6

**Description:** ROD System

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	66	0	0	66	0	0	0	66	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.2.6.1

**Description:** ROD ops Software Support

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Operations software support for the ROD.

Task includes maintenance of the ROD based software for the monitoring of the optical links and data quality. This software is independent of the DAQ. Work will be done by the Software professional supporting the optical links. It is expected that ROD problems and maintenance schedule will be independent of that for the front-end crate and a separate travels will be required.

Travel: 4 trip/year at \$2,500 per year. In FY06-FY12 **Details of Estimate:**

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	66	0	0	66	0	0	0	66	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362
<b>R Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362
<b>Total</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.1	66.362

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3

**Description:** Maintenance

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance shall include:

Spare part kit to repair at the CERN and institution sites. The spare parts shall account for part wear out rate, and part obsolescence.

Scheduled maintenance that includes equipment removal and reinstallation, calibration and alignment, test equipment at the CERN and institution sites, on site repair, and off site repair.

Project Management to supervise the staff and perform project maintenance planning and control

Maintenance of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter electronics can be split into seven categories:[Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

- Front End Electronics
- Level 1 trigger interface
- ROD system electronics
- Power Supplies
- Detector Control and cooling systems
- Cables, crates, and connectors
- Optical Links

During access, failed units will be repaired or replaced with spares. Repair of these failed modules will be performed at CERN by the maintenance staff or at the US ATLAS manufacturing site during the following running period.

If the repair decision is to be off site, due to technical complexity and/or cost, at least one technician experienced in each of the above areas shall be maintained at the manufacturing institution. Each of the level 3 systems will require equipment for the testing of system components. Some of this will be specialized test equipment (such as an operating front end crate, spectrum analyzer, TDR etc.) and some will be normal electronic tools (oscilloscopes, meters etc.) that will be expensed under CERN common costs. The specialized test equipment, which will be quite heavily used, must be kept operational and up to date. For the purpose of estimating the maintenance cost for such equipment, it was assumed that it would be replaced every three years. The estimate is based on working 200days/year and that 50 days/year will be used for access, leaving 150days (a total of 900 MD per year) for on site

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	3177	0	0	3177	0	1961	0	1217	1114.0	157.4

<b>MANPOWER</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Electrical Engineer R	0	276	3796	1496	1667	397	1296	8928
	0	14	449.046	153.121	179.33	31.27	155.205	981.972
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	1760	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	217.523	0	0	0	0	217.523
Technician R	0	1232	3272	1862	560	1441	1862	10229
	0	85.784	253.423	139.826	23.697	107.465	151.014	761.209
<b>R Total</b>	0	1508	8828	3358	2227	1838	3158	20917
	0	99.784	919.992	292.947	203.027	138.735	306.219	1960.704
<b>Total</b>	0	1508	8828	3358	2227	1838	3158	20917
	0	99.784	919.992	292.947	203.027	138.735	306.219	1960.704

<b>MATERIAL</b> <b>SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>

Other R	81.0	66.2	254.4	156.2	63.7	140.1	145.2	994.898
Travel R	0.0	11.0	46.5	43.4	16.3	16.2	22.2	221.707
<b>R Total</b>	81.0	77.2	300.9	199.6	80.0	156.3	167.3	1216.605
<b>Total</b>	81.0	77.2	300.9	199.6	80.0	156.3	167.3	1216.605

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.1

**Description:** Motherboard System

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.2

**Description:** Preamps/Calibration

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.2.1

**Description:** Preamps/Calibration

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.2.2

**Description:** Calibration

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3

**Description:** System Crate

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	2875	0	0	2875	0	1809	0	1066	1027.8	0.0

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	0	176	3696	1196	1367	297	1196	7928
	0	9.412	444.458	138.999	164.841	26.315	150.121	934.146
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	1760	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	217.523	0	0	0	0	217.523
Technician R	0	792	2832	1422	120	1001	1422	7589
	0	69.207	236.846	122.818	6.247	89.563	132.646	657.327
<b>R Total</b>	0	968	8288	2618	1487	1298	2618	17277
	0	78.619	898.827	261.817	171.088	115.878	282.767	1808.996
<b>Total</b>	0	968	8288	2618	1487	1298	2618	17277
	0	78.619	898.827	261.817	171.088	115.878	282.767	1808.996

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	25.0	58.2	252.0	125.9	54.2	134.7	139.6	861.514
Travel R	0.0	5.0	43.5	43.4	13.7	16.2	22.2	204.434
<b>R Total</b>	25.0	63.2	295.5	169.3	67.9	150.9	161.8	1065.948
<b>Total</b>	25.0	63.2	295.5	169.3	67.9	150.9	161.8	1065.948

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3.1

**Description:** PS maintenance - BNL

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

There are 4 main types of power supplies. They are:

Type	Number installed	Number of units/supply
Front End Crate Supplies	63	18
ROD VME crate Supplies	54	4
Level 1 Interface Crate Supplies	6	4
HEC LV Supplies	8	12

Supplies located in high radiation areas will have a high probability of failure (10%/year)

update december 06: IN ADDITION TO FIRST RETROFITTING THERE IS A NEED OFR A SECOND RETROFIT, ADDITIONAL TESTING, REVERSE ENGINEERING AND BACKUP SOLUTION

Repair of switching supplies will consist of replacing the supply with a spare during access, [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

then replacing the bricks, which have failed during the following running period.

For the front end electronics, the number of such failures is estimated to be  $0.1 \times 63 \times 18 = 113$  bricks/year. For the LV supplies for the HEC, the corresponding number is  $0.1 \times 8 \times 12 = 10$  bricks/year, bringing the total to 123 bricks/year. If the cost of each brick is

\$133.3, this will contribute about \$16.6K/year to the maintenance cost. Assuming that each replacement job requires 1/2 day, the manpower required to service the front end electronics is estimated to be 40 MD/year. It is assumed that these two systems comprise the largest part of the supply maintenance problem, but probably not more than half of it. To obtain an estimate for all of the power supply maintenance, the assumption is to double these figures. It is envisioned a test station that will include an oscilloscope and a spectrum analyzer. The cost of setting up the test and repair station is \$40k in FY06. The cost to maintain such a system is about \$23K/year. The technical staff off site at the responsible institution needed to provide technical expertise is about 2/10 FTE EE/year starting in FY07.

Summarizing the costs:

Labor	EET 120MD/year or 1/10 FTE in FY06 and 1/3 FTE in FY07 to FY12. EE 1/5 FTE in FY06 and 6/10 FTE in FY07 to FY12
Spares	\$8k/year in FY07 to FY12
Equipment	\$ 39k/year in FY06 to FY12
Travel	2 Trips/year at \$2500 per trip in FY07 to FY12 or \$30,000

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor assumes 1 FTE/year from FY07 to FY12 of an experienced physicist to provide technical support to the maintenance task off and on-site. Travel 2 trips /year at \$2,500 per trip or \$30,000.

Update december 06: additional costs include enginnering for failure analysis of retrofitted units, reverse engineering, second retrofitting, additional testing and backup solution

costs assume

- power supply engineer 1 FTE in 07
- reverse engineering 90k,
- additional testing 1 FTE technician
- backup solution (Wiener) 197k
- failure analysis 60K
- additional testing 2 FTE
- reinstallation 2 FTE
- cost of anticipated shipping, travel maintenance and repairs of the LV units
- some of it is in management contingency

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	1611	0	0	1611	0	1350	0	261	767.0	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	0	0	3520	1020	1191	121	1020	6872
	0	0	435.046	129.342	154.933	16.15	139.692	875.163
Mechanical Engineer R	0	0	1760	0	0	0	0	1760
	0	0	217.523	0	0	0	0	217.523
Technician R	0	0	1760	510	0	89	510	2869
	0	0	153.793	45.724	0	8.41	49.383	257.310
<b>R Total</b>	0	0	7040	1530	1191	210	1530	11501
	0	0	806.362	175.066	154.933	24.56	189.075	1349.996
<b>Total</b>	0	0	7040	1530	1191	210	1530	11501
	0	0	806.362	175.066	154.933	24.56	189.075	1349.996

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	144.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	162.117
Travel R	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	98.966
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	174.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	261.083
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	174.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	261.083

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3.2

**Description:** Opt Links and sys cable maint

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

The task includes replacement spares for the optical links components.

The Optical Link components are:

Connection	Type	Number Installed
FEB-ROD	optical	1524
ROD-FEB	optical/Cu	762
System FEB crate	optical	114
FT-Baseplane	Cu (flex)	3048
TBB – Receiver	Cu (shielded TP)	240
TDB – Receiver	Cu (shielded TP)	120

The optical links are active devices and are therefore subject to component failure.

Components that are located in the radiation area will be subject to radiation qualification of each batch.

Assuming that the transmitters will fail at a rate of 5% per year, and the repair is to replace [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

the part, the cost for this task is \$53.3K, and is based on a transmitter cost \$266.6/transmitter. The associated labor, assuming 1/2 MD per replacement is 38MD.

Copper cables are passive, so component failure is not a problem. Oxidation does occur, and at the same rate, the flex cables will need to be replaced and the connectors on the trigger cables will also have to be replaced. The cost associated with this maintenance is only manpower. It is assumed that the time to replace either is 1/2 MD and that the probability of failure is 1%/year. This leads to a manpower cost of  $0.01 \times (3048+240+120) \times 0.5 = 17 \text{ MD/year}$ . The cost for each flex cable is about \$333.3, leading to a replacement cost of \$10K/year. The test equipment required will include optical link and cable testing equipment. The maintenance budget for this item is estimated at \$15K/year. The technical staff off site at the responsible institution needed to provide technical expertise is 1/2 FTE EE/year

Summarizing the costs:

Labor EET 1/5 FTE in FY07 and FY08, 1/15FTE from FY07 to FY12.  
 EE 1/10 FTE starting in FY07 to FY12

Failure replacement needs are assumed to decrease by 50% for FY09 to FY12

Spares \$8K/year from FY07 to FY12  
 Replacement \$ 10K/year in FY09 to FY12  
 Test equipment Maintenance \$ 33k/year in FY07 to FY12  
 Travel - 4 trips/year at \$2500 per trip.

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor assumes 1/2 FTE/year in FY07 to FY08 of an experienced physicist to provide technical support to the maintenance task off and on-site, and 1/2 FTE faculty, 1/2 postdoc, and 1 FTE grad student. All support is reduced by 50% for FY09 to FY12. Travel 2 trips /year at \$2,500 per trip or \$30,000 total.

Cost Summary: (R)	Base Cost (k\$)	Cont Cost (k\$)	Cont %	Total Cost (k\$)	EDIA Labor (k\$)	Mfg Labor (k\$)	EDIA Matls (k\$)	Mfg Matls (k\$)	FTEs R	FTEs Other
	434	0	0	434	0	98	0	336	55.8	0.0

MANPOWER (k\$)	FY 06 (hrs)	FY 07 (hrs)	FY 08 (hrs)	FY 09 (hrs)	FY 10 (hrs)	FY 11 (hrs)	FY 12 (hrs)	Total (hrs)
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Electrical Engineer R	0	176	176	176	176	176	176	1056
	0	9.412	9.412	9.657	9.908	10.165	10.429	58.983
Technician R	0	0	280	120	120	120	120	760
	0	0	13.846	6.088	6.247	6.409	6.575	39.165
<b>R Total</b>	0	176	456	296	296	296	296	1816
	0	9.412	23.258	15.745	16.155	16.574	17.004	98.148

<b>Total</b>	0	176	456	296	296	296	296	1816
	0	9.412	23.258	15.745	16.155	16.574	17.004	98.148

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	41.0	52.8	54.2	55.6	57.1	271.695
Travel R	0.0	0.0	7.5	8.2	13.7	10.8	11.1	64.605
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	48.5	61.0	67.9	66.4	68.1	336.300
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	48.5	61.0	67.9	66.4	68.1	336.300

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3.3

**Description:** Crates maintenance

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

The Front End Crates should require little maintenance, except for the case when a baseplane and/or the power bus needs to be replaced due to a bad connector. This is a major repair job that must be done during the access period.

Manpower during an access is not counted in this estimate, as all available personnel will [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

probably be used for the time available. However, the baseplane replacement cost is included. Assuming a failure rate of 3% (3 baseplanes per year) the replacement cost will be \$9K/year. The technical staff off site at the responsible institution needed to provide technical expertise is 1/10 FTE EE/year

Summarizing the costs:

Labor EET 1/10 FTE/year starting in FY07 to FY12  
 Replacement \$26K/year starting in FY07 to FY12

Cost Summary: (R)	Base Cost (k\$)	Cont Cost (k\$)	Cont %	Total Cost (k\$)	EDIA Labor (k\$)	Mfg Labor (k\$)	EDIA Matls (k\$)	Mfg Matls (k\$)	FTEs R	FTEs Other
	222	0	0	222	0	80	0	142	45.6	0.0

**MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:**

	FY 06 (hrs)	FY 07 (hrs)	FY 08 (hrs)	FY 09 (hrs)	FY 10 (hrs)	FY 11 (hrs)	FY 12 (hrs)	Total (hrs)
Technician R	0	176	176	176	0	176	176	880
	0	15.379	15.379	15.779	0	16.61	17.042	80.189
<b>R Total</b>	0	176	176	176	0	176	176	880
	0	15.379	15.379	15.779	0	16.61	17.042	80.189
<b>Total</b>	0	176	176	176	0	176	176	880
	0	15.379	15.379	15.779	0	16.61	17.042	80.189

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	FY 06 (k\$)	FY 07 (k\$)	FY 08 (k\$)	FY 09 (k\$)	FY 10 (k\$)	FY 11 (k\$)	FY 12 (k\$)	Total w/ overhead (k\$)
Other R	0.0	26.0	20.0	24.6	0.0	28.1	28.8	142.179
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	26.0	20.0	24.6	0.0	28.1	28.8	142.179
<b>Total</b>	0.0	26.0	20.0	24.6	0.0	28.1	28.8	142.179

**CONTINGENCY FACTORS:**

<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			Cont %
Technical	C o s t	Schedule	Des i g n	Technical	C o s t	Schedule	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3.4**Description:** DCS and monitoring maintenance**Institution :** BNL-M&O**Contact** Not available

The Detector Control System(DCS), cooling system and monitoring systems are clearly critical areas for maintenance, as it is heavily relied on for the maintenance of other operations. The equipment used in this system is particularly robust, so one might expect the replacement and spare parts to be low, compared to other systems.

For the DCS electronics, a rough estimate is 1% of the cost of the installed electronics per [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

year would be needed for replacement. The total cost of the DCS electronics is estimated at \$100K, so it estimated that about \$2K/year for replacement costs for this system.

Close monitoring and maintenance of the cooling system will be especially important, since a cooling failure can have disastrous consequences for the electronics. Cooling system maintenance implies several operations like verification, test and eventually replacement of the following parts:

Cooling Plates

Cooling Blocks and the O-rings

Taigon pipes and fittings to the manifolds

Manifold

Quick insertion fittings

Temperature sensors

Maintenance costs for the cooling system are also difficult to estimate. Monitoring of the system will be especially important, since a cooling failure can have disastrous consequences for the electronics.

**Cooling Plates:** The coolant may clog the channels in the plates and replacement will be required. The frequency of cooling plate channel clogging depends on the water hardness in the main distribution system. It is assumed that cooling plates (of which there are 3048 in the

experiment) will need to be replaced at a rate of approximately 40 per year, at a cost of \$50 each. The job of removing and reattaching a cooling plate is long, due to the large number of screws and the care required when handling a front end board. It is estimated that there will be a need for 1/4 MD of contract labor per plate for replacement.

**Cooling Blocks and the O-rings:** All O-rings and especially the ones that interface to the plates will deteriorate under radiation. It is expected that 5% of the 1540 O-rings or approximately 80 would fail per year. The manpower required is estimated at 1/4 MD of contract labor for each O-ring replacement. The replacement cost is estimated at \$20

**Taigon pipes and fittings to the manifolds:** the fittings on the pipes have an automatic lock-in mechanism that can age with time. It is expected that 1% of the 2250 fittings or 20 would fail per year. The manpower required is estimated at 1/4MD contract labor for each fitting. The replacement cost is estimated at \$90

**Manifold routine flow checks and cleaning operations** will be required. It is estimated that the 26 flow checks and 3 cleaning operations will be required per year. Each flow check and cleaning operation would probably require 1/8MD and 2MD of contract labor respectively.

**Quick insertion fittings:** These fittings are located on the manifolds and they are taped in. The O-ring in the fitting will probably deteriorate. There are 5,168 fittings, and 2% or 100 are expected to deteriorate per year. The manpower is estimated at 1/4MD of contract labor per fitting. The replacement cost is estimated at \$450

**Temperature Sensors:** These 130 sensors will have to be checked 26 times per year, and recalibrated 2 times per year. It is also estimated that 13 will have to be replaced per year. The contract labor is estimated at 1/4MD per occurrence for checking, and 5MD per occurrence for calibration. Replacement of failed temperature sensors is estimated at 1/4MD of contract labor per temperature sensor. The replacement cost is estimated at \$30 each in small quantities. It is assumed that the temperature sensors are accessible during experiment operations.

The setup needed to test both the DCS and the components of the cooling system will be one DSC station to test and service all monitoring equipment, and a spare cooling circulation system. It is estimated the cost to maintain this system will be \$1K/year. There is a cooling system for the power supplies, but the cooling plates used in that system are more robust, and will probably have a much smaller maintenance problem.

Summarizing the costs:

Labor	EET 78MD/year from FY07 to FY12
Replacement	\$ 7240/year in FY07 to FY12
Travel -	2 trips in FY07 to FY12 at \$2500 per trip or \$30,000 total

Base & infrastructure

Labor assumes ½ FTE/year in FY07 and FY08, and 1/4 FTE/year in FY09 to FY12 of an experienced physicist to

provide technical support to the maintenance task off and on-site. Travel 1 trip in FY07, FY09, and FY12 at \$2,500 /trip or \$37,500 total.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	363	0	0	363	0	281	0	83	159.5	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	616	616	616	0	616	616	3080
	0	53.828	53.828	55.227	0	58.134	59.646	280.663
<b>R Total</b>	0	616	616	616	0	616	616	3080
	0	53.828	53.828	55.227	0	58.134	59.646	280.663
<b>Total</b>	0	616	616	616	0	616	616	3080
	0	53.828	53.828	55.227	0	58.134	59.646	280.663

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	7.2	7.0	7.4	0.0	7.8	8.0	41.824
Travel R	0.0	5.0	6.0	5.1	0.0	5.4	5.5	40.863
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	12.2	13.0	12.6	0.0	13.2	13.6	82.687
<b>Total</b>	0.0	12.2	13.0	12.6	0.0	13.2	13.6	82.687

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.3.5

**Description:** Electronics facility maintenance

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

Task involves a maintenance of the repair facility for the electrical systems under BNL responsibilities

Facility maintenance includes:**Details of Estimate:**

1. Replacement of broken or worn out equipment and tooling.
2. Equipment calibration

The cost to perform these functions is estimated at \$25k/year from FY 05 to FY12 for equipment and equipment calibration, and \$15K from FY08-12 for replacement of tooling.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	244	0	0	244	0	0	0	244	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	25.0	25.0	40.0	41.0	0.0	43.2	44.3	243.699
<b>R Total</b>	25.0	25.0	40.0	41.0	0.0	43.2	44.3	243.699
<b>Total</b>	25.0	25.0	40.0	41.0	0.0	43.2	44.3	243.699

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.4

**Description:** Front End Board

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.4.1

**Description:** Front End Readout Elec spares

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact**

Task includes a repayment of the CERN loan to buy components for the spare modules of the Front End Boards at the time of their initial purchase. This is motivated by the cost and lack of future availability of chips in DMILL technology. The US share of the loan is 20% and amount to \$312,000. The loan will be repaid in FY06 and FY07. The repayment is part of the CERN common costs.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.5

**Description:** Level 1 Trigger

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	302	0	0	302	0	152	0	151	86.2	129.3

**MANPOWER  
(k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

Electrical Engineer R

Technician R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	0	100	100	300	300	100	100	1000
	0	4.588	4.588	14.122	14.489	4.955	5.084	47.826
Technician R	0	440	440	440	440	440	440	2640
	0	16.577	16.577	17.008	17.45	17.902	18.368	103.882
<b>R Total</b>	0	540	540	740	740	540	540	3640
	0	21.165	21.165	31.13	31.939	22.857	23.452	151.708
<b>Total</b>	0	540	540	740	740	540	540	3640
	0	21.165	21.165	31.13	31.939	22.857	23.452	151.708

**MATERIAL  
SUMMARY:**

Other R

Travel R

**R Total**

**Total**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	56.0	8.0	2.4	30.3	9.5	5.4	5.5	133.384
Travel R	0.0	6.0	3.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	17.273
<b>R Total</b>	56.0	14.0	5.4	30.3	12.1	5.4	5.5	150.657
<b>Total</b>	56.0	14.0	5.4	30.3	12.1	5.4	5.5	150.657

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.5.1

**Description:** Level 1 trigger elec maintenance

**Institution :** University of Pittsburg

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the Level 1 receiver system and layer sum boards. Their replacement with spares will follow the FEB maintenance and repair schedule. Level 1 receiver system will have an independent on-line monitoring system and the problem boards will be accessible for replacement during the experiment operations. The test station will be constructed at CERN to diagnose the problems. Most likely problem will occur on the daughter boards that can be replaced with spares. The faulty boards will be sent to Pittsburgh for repairs. About 6 motherboards of the LV1 system will have problems each year. About 100 layer sum boards (out of 3000) will require repairs each year.

Labor cost will include labor of the electronics operations crew supported by the common [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

costs. The repairs of the motherboards done at Pittsburgh will require 2 days of EE and two days of ET per board i.e., 12 days of EE and ET per year. The repairs of the layer sum boards will require 1/2 day per board or 50 man-days of ET per year.

Total labor is: 1/17 FTE EE and 1/4 FTE ET per year for FY07-FY12.

Material cost will include the diagnostic equipment at CERN - 9U VME crate (\$6k), signal generator and scope will be the same as used in commissioning and will require replacement in FY09 (\$26k). Data monitoring and logging PC (\$3k) will be replaced in FY07 and FY10.

Pittsburgh test an repair equipment will include 2 independent test and repair stations. Each will consists of a pulse generator (\$5k), multiplexer (\$1k), ADC (\$5k), VME crate (\$5k) and a PC (\$3k). One scope (\$20k) will be shared between the two setups. The total cost of the test stations is \$56k in FY06. Shipping cost is estimated at \$5k/year for FY06-FY12.

Physicist base funding support for equipment

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	302	0	0	302	0	152	0	151	86.2	129.3

**MANPOWER (k\$)**

**SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	0	100	100	300	300	100	100	1000
	0	4.588	4.588	14.122	14.489	4.955	5.084	47.826
Technician R	0	440	440	440	440	440	440	2640
	0	16.577	16.577	17.008	17.45	17.902	18.368	103.882
<b>R Total</b>	0	540	540	740	740	540	540	3640
	0	21.165	21.165	31.13	31.939	22.857	23.452	151.708
<b>Total</b>	0	540	540	740	740	540	540	3640
	0	21.165	21.165	31.13	31.939	22.857	23.452	151.708

**MATERIAL SUMMARY:**

	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	56.0	8.0	2.4	30.3	9.5	5.4	5.5	133.384
Travel R	0.0	6.0	3.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	17.273
<b>R Total</b>	56.0	14.0	5.4	30.3	12.1	5.4	5.5	150.657
<b>Total</b>	56.0	14.0	5.4	30.3	12.1	5.4	5.5	150.657

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.6

**Description:** ROD System

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	28.1

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.6.2

**Description:** HV maintenance Stony Brook

**Institution :** SUNY SB

**Contact** Not available

HV feedthrough will need to be open every time there is a HV problem within the detector requiring isolation of HV

estimated effort is 0.2 FTE technician (Steffens)**Details of Estimate:**

Cost Summary: (R)	Base	Cont		Total	EDIA	Mfg	EDIA	Mfg	FTEs	FTEs
	Cost (k\$)	Cost (k\$)	Cont %	Cost (k\$)	Labor (k\$)	Labor (k\$)	Matls (k\$)	Matls (k\$)	R	Other
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	28.1

CONTINGENCY FACTORS:	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			Cont %
	Technical	C o s t	Schedule	Des i gn	Technical	C o s t	Schedule	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.6.3

**Description:**

**Institution :** SUNY-SB

**Contact** Not available

Maintenance of the HV distribution system

The HV system will need replacement of capacitors and technical expertise in isolating HV [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

lines for each fault within the detector.

The estimated effort is 0.2 FTE technician (Steffens)

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.2.3.6.4

**Description:**

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3

**Description:** Beam Test

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

Beam Tests were performed in 2001-2004. The new round of beam tests is requested but not yet approved for 2006-

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	749	0	0	749	0	434	0	316	246.5	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Computer Professional R	0	0	0	440	440	0	0	880
	0	0	0	18.97	19.464	0	0	38.434
Electrical Engineer R	1320	440	440	440	880	440	0	3960
	92.284	23.53	23.53	35.271	60.959	25.412	0	260.986
Technician R	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408
<b>R Total</b>	1320	735	735	1175	1320	735	295	6315
	92.284	49.308	49.308	80.689	80.423	53.252	28.564	433.828
<b>Total</b>	1320	735	735	1175	1320	735	295	6315
	92.284	49.308	49.308	80.689	80.423	53.252	28.564	433.828

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	15.0	76.0	3.9	32.8	10.5	13.0	13.3	183.318
Travel R	25.0	12.5	0.0	29.8	10.5	9.7	10.0	132.280
<b>R Total</b>	40.0	88.5	3.9	62.6	21.1	22.7	23.3	315.598
<b>Total</b>	40.0	88.5	3.9	62.6	21.1	22.7	23.3	315.598

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.1

**Description:** FCAL Hadronic Tail Measurement

**Institution :** U. of Arizona

**Contact** J. ratherfoord

During comprehensive reviews, the LHCC referees stated that the tails of hadronic showers be measured to provide the system response calibration. In order to measure the hadronic shower tails, a special calorimeter module located downstream of the module 0 calorimeter has to be built. Arizona has been assigned the leadership role in the test and will take on additional responsibilities. The test beam is available at CERN during FY03 and FY04 only. Since ROD will not be available and a version 0 of the FEB will be used, special optical links and modified DAQ will need to be put in place.

The beam tests completed in 2004 did not use final electronics and did not reach required precision. A new round of runs have been requested for 2006-2007

The costs for the Liquid Argon tail catcher module are:**Details of Estimate:**

Design and Engineering	160 hours ME in FY03, and 100 hours ME in FY04
Materials	\$34,000 in FY03 and \$31000 in FY04
Commissioning and Test beam setup	1/3 FTE MT in FY04, 1/5 FTE ME in FY05
Cabling and Connections	160 hours ME in FY03 (base)
Travel 3 trips/year in FY04 and FY05	\$2,500/trip or \$15,000
Construction	1/3 FTE MT In FY04
Shipping & installation	1/8 FTE ME in FY04
Mount	1/4 FTE ME in FY04
Software	1/14 FTE SW Prof. In FY04
	1/6 FTE SW Prof. In FY04

**Base & infrastructure**

Labor costs assumes for mechanical assembly 1 FTE faculty and 1/2 FTE post doc per year in FY03 and FY04 to supervise and provide technical support.

**Management Contingency FY04**

For the planning purpose the cost of the beam tests is listed here for FY06-FY07.

Materials and cables \$10,000 in FY06

Commissioning of the new data acquisitin setup 1/4 FTE EE in FY06 and FY07

Travel 4 trips/year

update december 06: beam test time frame slipped by 2 years

additional requirement is to measure radiation resistance of th ematerials

0.5 FTE ME D. Tompkins, 0.5 FTE computer professional A. Savine

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 30.00%

<b>Cost Summary:</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
<b>(R)</b>	306	0	0	306	0	179	0	127	101.5	0.0

**MANPOWER**

	<b>FY 06 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
<b>(k\$)</b>								
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Computer Professional R	0	0	0	440	440	0	0	880
	0	0	0	18.97	19.464	0	0	38.434
Electrical Engineer R	880	0	0	440	440	0	0	1760
	68.754	0	0	35.271	36.189	0	0	140.214

<b>R Total</b>	880	0	0	880	880	0	0	2640
	68.754	0	0	54.241	55.653	0	0	178.648
<b>Total</b>	880	0	0	880	880	0	0	2640
	68.754	0	0	54.241	55.653	0	0	178.648

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b>	<b>FY 07</b>	<b>FY 08</b>	<b>FY 09</b>	<b>FY 10</b>	<b>FY 11</b>	<b>FY 12</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b>
	<b>(k\$)</b>							
Other R	15.0	0.0	3.9	20.5	10.5	0.0	0.0	62.933
Travel R	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.5	10.5	0.0	0.0	64.319
<b>R Total</b>	35.0	0.0	3.9	41.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	127.252
<b>Total</b>	35.0	0.0	3.9	41.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	127.252

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.2

**Description:** Test Beam - optical links

**Institution :** Southern Methodist University

**Contact** Not available

Engineering and technical manpower for pre-operations and commissioning of the optical links at CERN.

FY04: Design and implementation of the links for the combined calorimeter test beam. Task includes design, building, installation and commissioning of 20 transition boards and link: PCB layout, PCB manufacturing, component loading, fibers, connectors, opto-electronics components, installation in the test beam and software modifications.

FY04: Design and construction of the links for the Combined Calorimeter Test Beam: 20 [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

transition boards + links(fibers, connectors, optical transmitters and receivers). Software adaptation.

Basis of estimate: material cost: components and boards production \$40k (quotes+past experience), test equipment \$25k; project labor: 1/3 FTE EE(A. Liu) + 1/2 FTE ET (M. Knee) + 1/6 software professional (T. Ryan); travel: 4 trips @ \$2.5k each or \$10k.

Base & infrastructure: 1/3 FTE experienced physicist (J. Ye) + 1/2 graduate student (L. Lu), travel 2 trips of 1 month @\$4k each or \$8k.

Commissioning of optical links for the new round of beam tests will require 1/4 FTE EE and 2 trips/year in FY05 and FY06

Update Dec 06: Maintenance of the compatibility of the links with rFEC electronics will continue through the experiment's support for the test beams

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 60.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	131	0	0	131	0	121	0	11	68.6	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Electrical Engineer R	440 23.53	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	440 24.77	440 25.412	0 0	2200 120.772
<b>R Total</b>	440 23.53	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	440 24.77	440 25.412	0 0	2200 120.772
<b>Total</b>	440 23.53	440 23.53	440 23.53	0 0	440 24.77	440 25.412	0 0	2200 120.772

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	5.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.710
<b>R Total</b>	5.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.710
<b>Total</b>	5.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.710

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.3

**Description:** Front-end readout commissioning

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** J. Parsons

Task include installation and commissioning of the readout system for the test beams H6 and H8. This will include the installation of the pre-series FEBs in the H8 test beam and modified module-0 FEBs in the H6 test beam.

Labor estimate include 1/6 FTE EE and 1/8 FTE ET in FY04 for H8 beam. **Details of Estimate:**

Supprt of the FCAL test beam electronics located in the H6 test beam area will require 1/8 FTE ET in FY05.

Travel include 4 trips at \$2,500 each or \$10,000 in FY04 for H8 beam line and 1 trip at \$2,500 for the H6 beam line

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 10.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	64	0	0	64	0	0	0	64	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.000
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.000
<b>Total</b>	0.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.000

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4

**Description:** Beam test equipment modification

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

Beam test equipment modification

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	248	0	0	248	0	134	0	114	76.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER (k\$) SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (hrs) (k\$)</b>	<b>Total (hrs)</b>
Technician R	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408
<b>R Total</b>	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408
<b>Total</b>	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	12.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	13.0	13.3	56.385
Travel R	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	9.7	10.0	57.251
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	22.7	23.3	113.636
<b>Total</b>	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	22.7	23.3	113.636

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.1

**Description:** Crate

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

The crate system is different for different calorimeter modules due to the differences of the baseplanes needed. Therefore, changes to the crate will be needed for different segments of the test beam run. This will include the power bus, warm cables, baseplanes and connections to the LV power supply. Additional changes of the pedestal will be needed to adapt it to the geometry of the test beam cryostat.

Update crate to latest configuration. Estimated time: 1/6 FTE of the technician in FY04 and [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

1/6 FTE of the technician in FY05. Material cost will include \$10k in FY04 for the shop tasks and components.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 100.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.2

**Description:** Cooling

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

Cooling. We will need to supply the manifolds for the two test beam systems as well as the hardware for the connections to the individual boards.

Each board will have two cooling plates with the connection to the water manifolds.

Supply new cooling plates, manifold, manifold block assembly, water pipes,main water [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

supply connections, and front panel

Commissioning of the setup at CERN before the test beam run and the preparation of the cooling manifolds for each cryostat will require

in FY04: 1/5 FTE of the designer and 1/6 FTE of the technician.

In FY05 1/6 FTE of the technician.

Travel: 1 trip/year for 3 weeks in FY04 and FY05

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 100.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.3

**Description:** Power Supplies

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

Prototypes of the final configuration of the power supplies will be provided. The cost of the units will be covered by the construction project. The installation if the test beam areas and special connections will be part of the test beam costs.

Update december 2006: additional costs associated with second retrofitting including additional testing, reverse

Task of providing the updated power supplies for the test beams will include installation of [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

the units, connections and setting up of the DCS. Labor needed is for setting up the DCS interface and prepare connections from crate to the PS and commission the system. This requires 1/12 FTE ET in FY04 and FY05 . Travel 2 trips of 2 weeks in FY04 and 1 trip of two weeks in FY05.

update december 06:

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 20.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.4

**Description:** Feedthrough

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

A final feedthrough will be installed on the test beam cryostat to provide the compatibility with the final experimental hardware. Old feedthrough has different pin carriers, cables and pigtailed with different impedance. The replacement will use the spare feedthrough (cost included in the construction project) that will need to be modified for different interfaces with the test beam cryostat.

The task of replacing the feedthrough with an updated one will require in FY04: one month **Details of**

**Estimate:**

of a designer to prepare the drawings for the modifications and 2 month of a technician to implement the changes. Travel 1 trip of 2 weeks for the installation. Material cost is estimated at \$10,000 for the machine shop time and

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 100.00%

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.5

**Description:** Mother board system

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

The electromagnetic Module will be rebuilt for the test beam run using the spares production electrodes. BNL will supply a new set of the final production mother boards. These mother boards have been produced as spares in the construction project but did not go through the final testing procedures.

Task includes a complete set of mother boards for one module. **Details of Estimate:**

Labor required: 1/6 FTE of electrical technician in FY04 (Pierrot Bichoneau), replacement parts for the mother boards spares \$18,615 (there are 15 mother boards @\$761+5 types to 6 summing boards @\$240) travel to help in installation on the module - 1 trip of 2 weeks and a shipping cost of \$500.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 60.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<i>Risk</i>				<i>Weight</i>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.3.4.6

**Description:** Beam test equipment support

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** L.Shaver

Beam test equipment support

Provide support for the refurbished and new added equipment for the beam test: **Details of Estimate:** crate, cooling, power supplies, mother board system, feedthrough.

At this time the test beam activities past FY2005 have not been determined. Taking as example the test beam for the D0 experiment we assume that the additional test beam runs may occur in 2007 - 2012. The running costs to maintain and replace the equipment is estimated at \$12k/year.

Labor cost is expected at 1 month of mechanical technician and 1 month of electrical technician/year and 2 trips of 3 weeks/year.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 15.00%

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	248	0	0	248	0	134	0	114	76.4	0.0

<b>MANPOWER</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(hrs)</b>	<b>Total</b> <b>(hrs)</b>
<b>SUMMARY:</b>								
Technician R	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408
<b>R Total</b>	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408
<b>Total</b>	0	295	295	295	0	295	295	1475
	0	25.778	25.778	26.448	0	27.84	28.564	134.408

<b>MATERIAL</b> <b>SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	12.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	13.0	13.3	56.385
Travel R	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	9.7	10.0	57.251
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	22.7	23.3	113.636
<b>Total</b>	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.5	0.0	22.7	23.3	113.636

<b>CONTINGENCY</b> <b>FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.4

**Description:** CERN living expenses

**Institution :** BNL-M&O

**Contact** Not available

CERN living expenses

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 100.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	464	0	0	464	0	0	0	464	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592
<b>R Total</b>	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592
<b>Total</b>	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592

**WBS Number:** 3.3.4.1

**Description:** M&O crew travel expenses

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

The Lar CERN common costs makes provisions for an onsite electronics operation crew [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

consisting of 6 people. The USATLAS share is 20% or 1.5 persons. It is expected that 1.5 electronics persons will be required from USATLAS from FY05 to FY012.. The travel costs for 1.5 persons will be \$30k/year using the USATLAS guidelines for travel expenses. (Trips to CERN will take more than 8 weeks each). In addition, a mechanical person from USATLAS will be required to support the maintenance of the mechanical components from FY05 to FY012. The travel expenses here will also be \$30k/year.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 100.00%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	464	0	0	464	0	0	0	464	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Travel R	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592
<b>R Total</b>	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592
<b>Total</b>	60.0	0.0	60.0	55.4	62.3	63.7	66.5	463.592

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.5

**Description:** CERN common costs

**Institution :**

**Contact** Not available

CERN common costs include US ATLAS share of costs levied by CERN, and costs associated with CERN facilities usage, equipment, and services.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 21.80%

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	1990	0	0	1990	0	0	398	1592	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1989.999
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1989.999
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1989.999

**WBS Number:** 3.3.5.1

**Description:** CERN common costs-BNL

**Institution :** BNL-common

**Contact** Not available

The CERN common costs includes the costs for pre operations, operations, and maintenance. The US ATLAS share is 22% of the total CERN common costs for the experiment. Costs are derived from ATLAS\_B\_sept05\_v13.xls which is the CERN scrubbed Category B Common Costs submitted to the Resource Review Board.

Note: The present loan from CERN to the Lar collaboration is for 2.00MCHF with at least [Details of](#)

**Estimate:**

1.4MCHF committed to FE Electronic (Spares). After the final FE Electronic (Spares) commitment the rest of the loan will be used in the same proportion of repayments for additional non-covered items like missing cables and missing funding for power supplies. The USATLAS portion of the loan is 22% of the total. The CERN common costs presented in the estimate is based on 1.4MCHF. An additional 600kCHF X 0.22 or 132kCHF will have to be repaid to CERN.

**U.S. ATLAS % share of activity:** 21.80%

<b>Cost Summary:</b> <b>(R)</b>	<b>Base Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	1592	0	0	1592	0	0	0	1592	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12</b> <b>(k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead</b> <b>(k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1591.999
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1591.999
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	398.0	1591.999

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**WBS Number:** 3.3.5.2

**Description:** CERN Common Costs\_Nevis

**Institution :** Columbia U. (Nevis Laboratory)

**Contact** Not available

The CERN common costs includes the costs for pre operations, operations, and maintenance. The US ATLAS share is 22% of the total CERN common costs for the experiment. Costs are derived from ATLAS\_B\_sept05\_v13.xls which is the CERN scrubbed Category B Common Costs submitted to the Resource Review Board.

<b>Cost Summary: (R)</b>	<b>Base Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>Cont %</b>	<b>Total Cost (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Labor (k\$)</b>	<b>EDIA Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>Mfg Matls (k\$)</b>	<b>FTEs R</b>	<b>FTEs Other</b>
	398	0	0	398	0	0	398	0	0.0	0.0

<b>MATERIAL SUMMARY:</b>	<b>FY 06 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 07 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 08 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 09 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 10 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 11 (k\$)</b>	<b>FY 12 (k\$)</b>	<b>Total w/ overhead (k\$)</b>
Other R	0.0	0.0	398.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.000
<b>R Total</b>	0.0	0.0	398.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.000
<b>Total</b>	0.0	0.0	398.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	398.000

<b>CONTINGENCY FACTORS:</b>	<b>Risk</b>				<b>Weight</b>			<b>Cont %</b>
	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Des i gn</b>	<b>Technical</b>	<b>C o s t</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0